



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAHR_45766</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>In vivo effect of aqueous extract of Moringa leaves on postharvest shelf life and quality of tomato fruits inoculated with fungal pathogens</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This MS deal with in vivo effect of aqueous extract (AE) of <i>Moringa</i> leaves on postharvest shelf life and the quality of tomato fruits inoculated with fungal pathogens. Author(s) suggested that AE treatment could increase shelf life and maintain quality of tomato fruits during storage. In 2.4 Extract concentrations, AEs are prepared by dissolving 80 or 100g of leaf powder in 100 ml of sterile distilled water. However, it does not dissolved completely in water as these concentrations are highest. Author(s) need to investigate using low concentrations of AEs again. References need to rewrite according to author's instruction as follows: <a href="http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors">http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors</a> .	The suggestion has been duly noted. Further investigations will be carried out in subsequent researches.

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>