



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_45484
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Yield Benefit of Cabbage as Influenced by Nutrients and Leaf Plucking
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract - Treatment application are not same as in described in material and methods. Introduction - Well enough expression. Material and Methods - Design should describe 4 x 3 factorial arranged in RCB design. - The author said N0 treatment. It is not N0, just control of organic fertilizer because cow dung contains NPK nutrients. - Mention full name of TSP, MoP. - In table 1, the author should express the NPK applied from cow daung in the Control plot. - There is no data collection, data calculation (cost-benefit ratio) and data analysis section! Should be added. - In table 3, In the LSD test, the critical value should express number, not NS. - Benefi-cost ratio or economic production should be used consistently. How to calculate? Conclusion - Abstract, N3 level should not say optimum level, beyond that level what will happen the author did not test. - Final conclusion is fair but should be added that it should be tested in any other nutrients level or variety.	Thanks you for your comments. Manuscript is corrected as per comments.
Minor REVISION comments	- Nil	
Optional/General comments	-Nil	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	