



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_44724
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Traditionally used Wild Edible Plants for its Impact on Health and Livelihood of the Ethnic Communities of Tripura.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. The author/ authors should revise and edit some minor grammatical errors, missing items, and made some clarification in the text. 2. Suggestion on the title of the manuscript "Assessment of Traditionally used Wild Edible Plants and its Impact on Health and Livelihood among the Ethnic Communities of Tripura" (not mandatory) 3. Clarification is sought on whether the assessment was based on only wild or includes that are cultivated by the people for consumption. 4. For example, these species like <i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> L., <i>Musa acuminata</i>, <i>Tamarindus indica</i> are found to be cultivated by most tribal peoples. Therefore, the author need to made it clear that some plant species are widely cultivated for consumption or to be used as ethno-medicines, etc. 5. If possible author may provide the Common Names of the species in Table 1 6. Besides, the author should provide all the local terms in the text in English for wider readership and to maintain the standard. 7. Reference, the author should consult the sample of the journal reference guide and follow the style - whether it is according to citation referencing or Bibliographical style.	Corrections done
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>