



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_44724
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Traditionally used Wild Edible Plants for its Impact on Health and Livelihood of the Ethnic Communities of Tripura.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Lines 1& 2: Title can be made better as follows "Traditionally used Wild Edible Plants and their Impact on Health and Livelihood of Ethnic Communities in Tripura." Line 5: "Tripura is the third smallest state of India. It is the home of 19 tribal ethnic communities." These two short sentences can be combined into one as Tripura is the third smallest state of India with 19 ethnic tribes. Line 6: Delete "the" after consume. Secondly maintain "wild edible plants" not "wild plants". Because wild plants are many some of which are not edible. Line 8: Delete " of the state" Line 8 – 9: Revise this statement "The selection criterion for the survey area was presence of tribal communities". Line 9 -12: Revise these statements "During the field survey, a total of 61 species of wild edible plants which are being as their traditional food and medicinal 10 purpose by indigenous communities of Tripura were identified, and the same belonged to 34 family and 53 genera." As....."During the field survey, 61 species of wild edible plants belonging to 34 families and 53 genera were identified. These species were being used as food and medicine by the indigenous communities of Tripura. Line 16: Is "Ethnic Communities of Tripura." A key word? What is a key word? Line 18: "Introduction" not Background Line 19: Delete "The" at the beginning of the sentence Line 21: Insert reference after the definition of wild edible plants Line 22: Cite references in text according to journal instructions. Kindly use the manuscript template as provided by the journal Line 26-28: Correct the grammar for this sentence please. "The majority of the edible plants are neglected which grow naturally in the wild and do not have to be tended before producing edible parts (FAO, 1988)." Use "wild edible plants" not edible plants. Line 53-56: Kindly delete these lines. Download the journal manuscript template to avoid such. Line 59: Delete "whole" before state Line 59-60: "The third-smallest state in the country" which state are you talking about? is it Tripura or it is something else? Line 78: Delete Line 79 -80: "The selection was carried out based on the presence of the tribal community." Correct this statement. You do not carry out a selection grammatically. You use a criterion or criteria to select Line 82: Make it a table and put a caption Line 84-85: Delete "The aim of the study was to explore, collect, identify, and preserve the wild plants used by tribals as food." Line 85: Delete "from the ethnic people through" replace with "using" Line 87: Who are housewives? And why house wives? Line 89: You cannot identify plants in the field using literature. This causes bias and mis-identification. May be if you can explain how you used literature to identify these plants. Under Line 89: Delete "few glimpses of Survey" and label each plate/ picture or provide a caption below for all the plates/ pictures Picture down, kindly do not pull or stretch it. Enlarge it by clicking on corners then pull. Label the pictures as plate I, plate ii ...and do not stretch them. If two pictures represent one thing, merge or group them and write below one caption. Line 97: Delete "On the basis of" Line 98: Delete "These plants are the precious gift of nature" this statement is redundant Line 100: "depends on it" poor English revise Lines 101,102,103: Delete "The present survey recorded the diversity of wild plants	Corrections done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	which have been used as vegetable by all ethnic communities of Tripura and the traditional knowledge of wild plants associated with the ethnic communities of Tripura.” This statement delete. Its explained in the preceeding statements which show figures. Line 106: Revise “which used as”. Bad English Line 111: Table caption can be revised as follows “List of wild edible plants used for food and medicinal purposes by..... Delete “along with their local name, life form, growth habit, parts consumed, mode of preparation” Table 1: Go through the entire table to ensure that all scientific names are written correctly with the right authorities Lin e 122: Delete “All the 61 species are edible.” You already told us that they are wild edible plants. Thus, we do not expect those which are not edible. Leaving the statement redundant. Figure 2: Delete the textbox and the word parts used Line 140: Delete “during” Table 3: poor caption References: Number all references and write them using the journal format • The English and grammar need to be cross-checked word by word. There are major grammatical errors and poor sentence construction. • Kindly align your text using the journal format. Do no centre it. • All references should be cited as per journal instructions with numbers and those numbers should tally with those of full references. • I encourage the author to use standard table designs for manuscripts. They look neater and they are not congested with crossing lines	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Poorly constructed sentences and quite a number of grammatical errors	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>