



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_39822
Title of the Manuscript:	Jharkhand and Organic Agriculture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is important since it makes a survey of the situation of organic agriculture in India, the first step to define future lines of research</p> <p>The objectives are clear and precise. It describes very well the situation of organic agriculture, which is often done for lack of inputs to perform traditional agriculture, there is a low level of certification and the scale of production is small. One should not give such a critical vision of traditional agriculture, but of bad agricultural practices, inappropriate use of pesticides, fertilizers etc ... A biased view of traditional agriculture is presented, with personal opinions founded only on the misuse of available technologies. It presents a lot of personal opinions and few data.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The conclusions are not based on data presentation or bibliographical references but on personal opinions.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martín Maria Silva Rossi
Department, University & Country	Argentina