



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_42331
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative studies on vegetative and in vitro propagation of elite selected jojoba strains
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- Add keywords 2- In the results add the standard deviation 3- The results of the different concentrations of sugars are missing 4- Where are the results of the three different types of culture media on two types of explants (semi hard nodal segment and shoot tips)? 5- Add to materials and methods, the rooting with different types of hormones (BAP, TDZ and NAA) 6- Discuss according to the results obtained 7- Conclude according to the objective	1- Key words added 2- To add standard deviation, this means that I should re-analyze the statistical analysis, at the same time I put the LSD value by which the difference between two mean values is compared to the LSD value. If the difference is greater than the LSD value, then the means are significantly different. I think this could be enough. 3- One concentration of sugar is used (25 gm/L) 4- Regarding shoot tip I mentioned in the results and discussions in line-5 that all types of media failed to generate plantlet. Regarding semi hard nodal it was illustrated in the section entitled Effect of jojoba plant genotype and plant growth regulators on proliferation. 5- I mentioned that no roots obtained via tissue culture technique, only vegetative propagation via semi hard cutting with IBA initiate roots. 6- The discussion is revised as recommended 7- The conclusion is re-written as recommended.
Minor REVISION comments	1- Homogenize the typeface 2- Add number of each sections 3- Add and put the table headings above the table 4- Check the guideline for authors	These comments are taken into consideration and revised as recommended
Optional/General comments	Which growth regulator has table 2?	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>