



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_41527
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of selected phytochemicals and botanical nutrient on physiological features of okra varieties against Yellow vein clearing mosaic virus
Type of the Article	Original Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some changes were highlighted in the MS. - All scientific names should be checked. Scientific name is always italicized but not abbreviation. - In introduction section, no reference was given to the first paragraph. - In Table 1 is different font. - Abstract should be checked. - Figure 1 is low quality image. - Discussion section is too short, and no reference was given. The results were not discussed with the references. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Scientific names are checked. 2. References are added in first paragraph of introduction 3. Table 1 font sizes are corrected 4. Abstract is checked and improved 5. Figure 1 image is improved as like as other images. 6. Discussion section is improved and references are added. 7. No proper reference was found for the result section as it is new experiment for current study.
Optional/General comments	-	