



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_39429
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF FOUR SWEET POTATO (IPOMEA BATATAS L.) VARIETIES FOR ADAPATIBILITY AND PRODUCTIVITY IN IWO, OSUN STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the abstract; period and place of research was not mentioned and is very important. The varietal name should be mentioned in the abstract for it to serve as a summary of the whole article. Similarly, objectives of the study though mentioned but need to be written as a single sentence (not broken in to 1, 2, etc)	The period and place of the research have been mentioned in the abstract. The name of the varieties have also been mentioned. The objectives have been written in a single sentence.
Minor REVISION comments	The objectives of the study should be stated clearly at the end of introduction and tally with that in the abstract. Names of the varieties were not mentioned in material and method section. There is need to mention the names of the varieties in full.	The objectives in the introduction tally with that of abstract The names of the varieties are mentioned in the materials and methods. The names name are written in full
Optional/General comments	The R' value for correlation table need to be indicated for simplicity of understanding. The author mentioned acceptability taste in the discussion section, however no data is presented on such. Check the reference section thoroughly and make sure all sited references are mentioned.	In the result section line 199 to line 201 presented the results on acceptability taste.