



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_45811
Title of the Manuscript:	Resilience to Household Food Insecurity of Households Graduated from Productive Safety Net program Pursuing Different Livelihood Strategies in Rural Konso, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>(1) Title of Paper: Please shorten it. It's too lengthy.</p> <p>(2) Proofreading Looks like the authors did not proofread their paper properly before sending it out. Do a thorough check for spelling errors and grammatical errors, punctuation errors,</p> <p>Example – punctuation error line 375-377 Accordingly, (add coma) income and food access, asset possession, agricultural practices and technologies and adaptive capacity are very important components in enhancing resilience.</p> <p>Paper should be written in the present tense and not past tense.</p> <p>Example: Abstract is spelled wrongly.</p> <p>Eigen is with capital E throughout the paper.</p> <p>Conclusion: Line 426-428 – word usage error → Stressors, not stresses The way a household withstands and copes with these climate related shocks and stresses depends on the preconditions and options available to them in terms of capabilities, assets and activities.</p> <p>(3) Abstract The abstract is too long and writing style needs to be improved. Read abstracts from good journals, and change your abstract to no more than 150 words.</p> <p>The format of the references is also not uniform. Check the journal's requirements</p> <p>(4) Writing style of paper: Please refer to good and professional journals for your paper writing format. Some sections are too long (abstract), some too short (introduction), your introduction section does not show any essence of your paper, what are the gaps addressed in your paper that is different from other existing studies?,</p> <p>Some sentence structures are too lengthy, examples given below:</p> <p>Example: line 159-161 Similarly, its latent dimensions are also latent because they cannot be directly observed in a given survey and hardly possible to estimate but it is possible to estimate them through multivariate techniques.</p> <p>Please proofread and reconsider your writing style format.</p> <p>(5) Results Section: Please show results of this in a Table form: line 204-206 The result of this study shows that Bartlett's test was significant ($p = 0.000$) and Chi-square =588.92) suggesting that the factor analysis was appropriate with the data available for this study (Table is not shown).</p> <p>(6) References: The references of this paper is not clear, as to what volume, pages, etc. It is strongly</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>advised that the author provide clear references for each referenced journal.</p> <p>Examples: Alemayehu Geda and Addis Yimer. 2014. Growth, Poverty and Inequality in Ethiopia, 2000-2013: A Macroeconomic Appraisal. Addis Ababa University Department of Economics. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia</p> <p>Alinovi, E. Mane, and D. Romano 2008. Towards the measurement of household resilience to food insecurity: applying a model to Palestinian household data.</p> <p>Alinovi, E. Mane, and D. Romano. 2009. Measuring Household Resilience to Food Insecurity: Application to Palestinian Households.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christina Tay
Department, University & Country	Chinese Culture University, Taiwan

