



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_41410
Title of the Manuscript:	Measuring managerial efficiency of table egg producers in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I think this is a good manuscript, however some revisions are still needed for improving its quality. I found out that the latest references cited in this manuscript were published in 2013. Thus, it seems information that had been discussed in the manuscript a little bit out of date. So I would like to strongly suggest author(s) to make more discussions with the latest published works (particular, research works published between 2013 and 2018) in order to reflect the up to date situation or status of egg production as well as managerial efficiency in Nigeria.</p> <p>In study area section, author(s) stated that Akwa Ibom State was selected as the study area, but I did not find any explanations or discussions why this area was selected. So, author(s) should fill up this point. Author(s) can also use some available statistics to show the comparison between this State and other States too.</p> <p>In data analysis section, author(s) also did not discuss on why these methods (DEA and Tobit) were chosen for the current study. There are many methods available for efficiency analysis researches, not just only DEA and Tobit. Therefore, it is better to provide some discussions on the reason of choosing them for the current study.</p> <p>Moreover, I did not find clearly discussion(s) on variables selection and their expected sign. For example, what is the dependent variable of this study? What are main input factors? and What are influencing factors? Why these variables are selected for considering? Thus, I suggest author(s) to discuss or clarify or providing more details on this.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I found some grammatical error in this manuscript (for example, in line 63 and 192). Thus, author(s) should also re-check these errors carefully.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Sokvibol Kea</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Northwest A&amp;F University, China</i></b>