

**ASSESSMENT OF PEPPER PRODUCTION IN ISOKO NORTH
LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA**

ABSTRACT

This study examined the profitability of pepper production in Isoko North Local Government Area, Delta State. Purposive sampling technique was used to select 50 farmers out of the population of pepper farmers. Structured questionnaire were used to collect the relevant information. Data collected were analyzed with help of descriptive statistics and gross margin analysis. The result of the study revealed that 44% of the pepper farmers fall within the age of 40-49 years and 54% had no formal education. The household size ranged from 6-10 persons, while about 72% of pepper farmers do not belong to any cooperative society. The pepper producers were mostly small scale farmers and 50% of them have a farming experience of 6-10years. The result gotten from the gross margin analysis revealed a total cost per acre of ₦61,300 and the returns per acre was ₦95,000. An average sampled farmer had a gross margin of ₦33,700. The rate of returns 1.5 shows that for every investment by pepper farmer a profit of ₦1.50 was realized. The study identified some constraints of pepper producers to includes, lack of access to credit, price instability, pest and diseases, lack of irrigation facilities among others. Based on the finding, the study recommends that farmers should be encouraged to form cooperative societies. Moreso, government should assist pepper farmers with farm inputs and credit with low interest rate and organize training for pepper farmers on modern practices

KEY : Pepper farming, production, profitability, Problems and Potential, Delta State

27 1.0 Introduction

28 Pepper (*Capsicum* spp) is one of the varied and widely used spices in the
29 world. *Capsicum* spp is a highly value crop that is grown for cash by
30 farmers all over the World (Aliyu et al, 2012). Nigeria has a good soil and
31 weather that can readily support the growth and productivity of pepper.
32 Nigeria is known to be one of the major producers of pepper in the World
33 accounting for about 50% of Africans production (Mohammed et al, 2013).
34 In Nigeria, pepper is massively produced from the Northern States even
35 though that it grows well in the South West States and to a lesser extent in
36 the South Eastern States. China is the largest producer of pepper with
37 10million tons. It is followed by Mexico with 1.9tons and Turkey occupying
38 the third place with 1.5million tons. Nigeria and Ghana top tropical
39 production with 715,000t and 270,000t respectively as largest producers.
40 Vietnam, India, Indonesia and Brazil are largest suppliers to the global
41 market, while the United State, Europe, Japan and Australia are the major
42 destinations of pepper exports. Pepper grown in Nigeria is in high demand,
43 because of its pungency and good flavor. Investing in pepper production is
44 one of the ways of curbing unemployment, income generation and sourcing
45 for foreign exchange in recent years. Pepper has achieved major economic
46 significance in the global market due to increased World-wide interest and
47 demand (International Pepper, (2012). Pepper can readily be dried,
48 grounded and packaged for export. Apart from the potential of this
49 commodity to generate foreign exchange for Nigeria, their common use in
50 confectionary, medicinal and culinary purpose is on the increase. Pepper is
51 use for production of spice blends and red pepper. Industrial users also
52 require the moderately pungent chilies (Nigerian type) for use in the
53 pharmaceutical industries (Suleiman and Isah, 2010) .

In Nigeria, *Capsicum frutescens* is third among the cultivated vegetables being utilized in the dry state as spice. *Capsicum* spp contains an alkaloid (digestive stimulant) and is used in ointment for relief of arthritic and neuropathic pains (Ayorinde, 2011). In Nigeria no dish seems to be complete without pepper. Apart from serving as spices, pepper is used to decorate food, to give it flavor or colour. Fresh pepper is found to be a good source of Vitamin C and calcium (Amoke, 2016). Experts believe that pepper has properties that provide relief for many ailments. For instance, it is said to offer relief from colds, sore throats, fevers, enhances blood circulation for cold hands and feet. It also regulates blood sugar and fights prostate cancer. Pepper is believed to act as heart stimulant that regulates blood flow. It is also useful raw material in preparing creams meant for lessening pains, inflammations and itching as well (Amoke, 2016).

According to Central Bank of Nigeria (1995), the economics of pepper is characterized by wide and frequent changes in price. Pepper prices vary greatly within a season and between years. Most of the price variation within season is caused by weather effects and acreage on production (Esendugu, 2005)

1.2 Problem Statement

Nigeria still imports pepper, thus indicating that there is high demand for pepper locally despite the good weather, soil and numerous potential of pepper in Nigeria not to talk of the export. Pepper yield in Nigeria have been very low compared to Western Europe. The low yield in pepper production in Nigeria could be attributed to some production challenges which include disease, pest and poor management practices (Jaliya and Sani, 2006). Pepper production in Nigeria has once been reported to be a lucrative business (Ajibefun and Daramola, 2003).

Scarcity of resources has led to production economists think about the reallocation of existing resources to have more output with a given level of input combinations or to produce a prescribed level of output with the minimum cost without changing the production technology. Similarly, the measurement of the productive efficiency in agricultural production is an important issue because it gives pertinent information for making sound management decision in resource allocation. There are shortages of research information that dwell on the pepper production profitability, problems and potentials in Nigeria for future development. Considering the above facts, the study was designed to analyzed the level of profitability in pepper production among producing farmers in Isoko North Local Government Area, Delta State. Specifically, the study focused on socio- economic characteristics of pepper farmers, costs and returns of pepper production and problems/potentials militating against pepper production in Isoko North Local Government Area, Delta State

1.0 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted in Isoko North Local Government Area, Delta State. The local government area is located in Delta South senatorial zone and the choice of this local government area was made because of the reasonable numbers of Capsicum spp farmers in the area. Delta state is one of the nine states in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. It is located approximately between longitude 5⁰ 00' and 6⁰ 45' east and latitude 5⁰00' and 6⁰30' north of the equator (Inoni and Oyaide, 2007). Isoko North Local government is located at the rain forest belt in Nigeria with latitude 5⁰ 0'N and longitude 5⁰S and 6⁰S. The annual rainfall of the area is about 1800mm per annum and average temperature of about 31⁰c (Inoni and Oyaide, 2007).

2.2 Data Collection and Analysis

Primary and secondary data were used for this study. The interview method of data collection with the aid of structured questionnaire was used to obtain relevant information from the selected farmers in the study area. Data collection was centered on socio-economic characteristics of the farmers such as age, gender, household size, educational level, farming experience amount of credit, access to extension service cooperative membership, farm size, quantities and prices of various production inputs used by the farmers and problems affecting pepper producers.

2.3 Sampling Procedure

A two- stage technique was employed to select the respondents for the study. Firstly, five (5) communities were selected randomly from the study area out of the fourteen communities that make up Isoko North local government. The communities selected include, Ozoro, Owhelogbo, Iyede and Ofagbe and Okpe Isoko. Secondly, fifty (50) pepper farmers were selected in all through purposive method based on the size of their farms and predominance across the chosen communities.

2.2 Data analysis

Descriptive statistics such as frequency percentage and gross margin analysis were used in the analyses of data.

131 Table 1: socio economic characteristics of pepper farmers (50 Farmers)

Variables	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age (years)		
20-29	10	20
30-39	22	44
40-49	18	36
50 and above	50	100
Sex Gender		
Male	5	10
Female	45	90
	50	100
Educational status		
No formal education	27	54
Primary education	15	30
Secondary education	8	16
Tertiary education	-	-
	50	100
Household size		
1-5	15	30
6-10	27	54
11-15	6	12
16-20	2	4
	50	100
Farming experience		
1-5	10	20
6-10	25	50
11-15	8	16
16-20	7	14
	50	100
Sources of capital		
Informal	49	98
Formal	1	2
	50	100
Membership of cooperative		
Yes	14	28
No	36	72
	50	100
Extension visit		
No visit	30	60
1-2 times	15	30
3 & above times	5	10
	50	100

132 Source: field survey, 2018

134 Table 2: Summary of production inputs and yield of pepper production per area

Variables	Unit	Mean
Nursed seedlings	Kg/Acre	14000 stands
Poultry droppings	Kg/Acre	400
Agrochemical (Insecticides and Herbicides)	Litre/Acre	2
Labour	Mandays/Acre	9
Yield	Kg/Acre	350

135

136 Table 3: Average cost and return per acre of Pepper production:

Variables	Kg/Acre	Value/Acre (Naria)	Percentage contribution (%)
A; Average Revenue (TR)	450	120,000	30.1
B. Variable Cost			
i) Growing seedlings(14,000stands		6,500	
ii) Land clearing/ preparation		7,000	
iii) Fertilizer/poultry dropping		6,000	
iv)labour for weeding(Man-days)		19,000	
v) Insecticides		3,000	
v) labour for other activities		4,500	
vi) Total Variables Cost (TVC)		61,300	
C. Fixed Cost			
i) Renting of land		8,000	

ii) Interest rate on capital	5,000	
iii) Depreciation of tools	2,300	
iv) Total Fixed cost	15,300	
D. Total Cost (TC)	76,600	
E. Gross Margin (TR-TC)	43,400	
F. Return per naira investment (TR/TC)	1.6	

137 Source: Field survey, 2018

138 Table4:Distribution of Respondents According to the constraints of pepper
139 production.

Constraints	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Price instability	10	20
Pest and disease attack	10	20
Lack of irrigation facilities	2	4
Difficulty of accessing credit	18	36
Lack of market	10	20
Total	50	100

140 Source: field survey, 2018.

141

142 4.1 Socio-Economic Characteristics of Respondents

143 Table 1 revealed that majority (44%) of the pepper farmers are between the ages
144 of 40-49 years with a mean age of 46.9. This implies that most of the farmers
145 are within the active age of farming which could give rise to high productivity

146 of pepper in the area. This result is in agreement with the finding of Obeta and
147 Nwabo (1999) that states younger farmers are more flexible in accepting new
148 ideas and taking risk, hence they tend to adopt innovations more readily than
149 older farmers. The result in table 1 shows that majority (90%) of pepper farmers
150 are females, while only 10% are males. This implies that most of pepper
151 producers in the study area are females.

152 The majority (54%) of pepper farmers had no formal education, while 30% of
153 the respondents attained between 1-6 years of education. Thus the illiteracy
154 level could affect negatively ability to welcome extension training as well as
155 adopt high level of innovation and improved practices of pepper production.

156 On the household size, the result in table 1 shows that majority (54%) of the
157 respondents had household size of between 6-10 persons. The average
158 household size was 7.5 persons. This implies that there is appreciable number of
159 family labour supply to accomplish various farm operations. Moreso, the result
160 in table 1 shows that majority (50%) of the pepper farmers had between 6-10
161 years of farming experience with average farming experience of 9.2 years. This
162 is implies that the farmers in the area had enough farming experience in pepper
163 production. The result is in support of the finding of Mohammed et al, (2015).

164 The result in table 1 revealed that majority (98%) of the pepper farmers derive
165 their capital from informal sources such as personal savings relatives and
166 friends, while the remaining 2% got their capital from formal sources such as
167 commercial Bank and Bank of Agriculture etc. This implies that the farmer's
168 access to credit is usually low due to inability of the pepper producers to receive
169 grants or financial support from government. This result is in line with finding
170 of Ekong (2003) that asserted that credit is a very strong factor that is needed to
171 develop any enterprise. The result of membership of cooperative revealed that
172 majority (72%) of pepper farmers do not participate in cooperative society,

while 28% of farmers belong to cooperative society. The reasons for the low level of membership of cooperative could be associated with lack of awareness on the part of farmers. The resultant effect is that most of pepper producers will not enjoy the benefits that accrue to cooperators through pooling together of resources for a better expansion, efficiency and effective/ management of resources and profit maximization. Table 1 shows that most (60%) of the pepper farmers had no access to extension agents during the farming season. The result shows that 30% and 10% of them were visited 1-2 times and 3 and above times respectively. The implication of this is that most of the pepper farmers may not have been exposed to the desired information and right knowledge on improved inputs and modern production techniques in pepper farming.

4.2 Summary of Inputs and yield of pepper per an acre

Table2 shows the estimated production inputs and yield of pepper in the study area. Table 2 reveals that nursed pepper seedlings are procured from small scale farmers that nursed the seeds early enough in different locations beside water logged farmlands that are fertile. Table 2 also shows that an average farmer in the study area makes use of about 400kg of poultry droppings to manure an acre of pepper, while about 9 man-days is expected to conveniently cater for an acre of pepper farm. A total yield of 350kg of pepper could be realized per acre of pepper farming, while a farmer needs about 2 litres of Agrochemical to prevent pest and diseases infestation. Most of the farmers were into mixed cropping.

4.3 Costs and returns per One (1) Acre pepper production.

Table 3 shows the average cost and returns of pepper production. The gross margin of pepper production in the study area was N43,400. Table 3 also revealed that labour constituted about 30.1% of the total cost of production. The rate of return on pepper investment by farmers in the study area was 1.6. This implies that for every ₦1.00 investment in pepper production, ₦1.60 is realized.

200 This indicates that pepper production is profitable in the study area. This finding
201 is in agreement with research work by Mohammed et al (2013) and Ajibefun
202 (2002) that reported a rate of return on investment of 2.28 and also recorded the
203 highest benefit cost ratio of 3.90 carried out in Kaduna state versus at firm-level
204 evidence in Nigeria respectively.

205 4.4 Constraints of Pepper Production

206 The constraints that affect yield and profit of pepper production are presented in
207 table 4. The most prevalent constraints to pepper production identified by the
208 study area are Lack of access to credit, price instability, pest and disease attacks
209 and lack of market.

210 4.4 Conclusion and Recommendations

211 The study assessed the level of profitability of pepper production among
212 farmers in Isoko North Local Government. Based on the findings from the study
213 it can be concluded that pepper production business contribute significantly to
214 income, job creation, poverty alleviation and improvement of food security
215 among pepper producers since pepper production is a viable or profitable
216 enterprise. The constraints militating against pepper production in the study area
217 include, difficulty of accessing credit, price instability, pest and diseases attacks,
218 lack of irrigation facilities and lack of market. The study therefore recommends
219 as follows;

- 220 1. Pepper farmers should be encourage to form farmers cooperative group
221 so as to enjoy the benefits that accrue to cooperators from government,
222 such as provision of subsidize agrochemicals, fertilizer, quality
223 seeds/seedlings and tractor for purpose of attaining increase productivity.
- 224 2. Government should assist pepper farmers with credit at low interest rate
225 so as to motivate pepper farmers to increase the scale of production.

3. Delta state Agricultural Development projects (ADPs) should improve on the monitoring of the extension officer with a view to bringing modern technology and right information to the door step of pepper farmers and also organizing a training workshop for pepper farmers.

References

- Ajibefun I.A and Daramola A.G (2003): Determinants of technical and allocation efficiency of micro - enterprises. Firm-level evidence from Nigeria African Development Bank Vol 4: 353-395
- Amoke C (2016): Pepper Farming: Another Cash Cow Agribusiness, Independent Newspaper, November 14th 2016 .
- Ayorinde I.O (2011) Growth and yield of hot pepper (*Capsicuni Frutescens*) as influenced by bed width and within row spacing. Submitted to the Department of Horiticulture College of Plant Science and Crop Protection, University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Ogun State Nigeria.
- Ekong. E.E (2003); Rural Sociology. An introduction and Analysis of rural Nigeria, Uyo. Dove Educational Publication
- Esengudu, G.E (2005) Economic Analysis of pepper production mrketing and Management in Georgia. The cooperative extension offers educational programs. The University of Georgia, College and Agricultural & Environmental Sciences and Ft University State AGECON-05-106, <http://www.agecon. Uga.edu> or <http://www.ces.uga.edu/Agriculture/ageco.html>.
- International Pepper (2012):International Pepper Proceeding of 21st conference Florida, U.S.A

- 249 Jaliya, M.M and Sani B,M (2006): Pepper production under irrigation National
250 Agricultural Extension Research Liaison Services, Ahmadu Bello University,
251 Extension Bulletin No. 206, Agricultural Engineering Series.
- 252 Inoni O.E and Oyaide W.J (2007) Socio-Economic Analysis of Artisanal
253 Fishing in the South Agro-Ecological Zone, Delta State, Nigeria. Agricultura
254 Tropica Et Subtropical Vol 40 (4)
- 255 Mohammed, A.B, Ayanlere, A.F Ekenta C.M and Mohammed, S.A (2013):
256 Cost and Return Analysis of pepper production in Ethiope West Local
257 Government Area, Delta State, Nigeria International Journal of Applied
258 Research and Technology vol. 2 No2 pp 3-7.
- 259 Suleman A, and Isah S.I (2010) Spatial Integration of selected markets of dried
260 chilli pepper and ginger in Northern Nigeria. Savannah Journal of Agriculture
261 Vol. 5, Pp29-37