



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_45946
Title of the Manuscript:	An Economic Analysis of Technology Adoption Coupled With Conjunctive Use of Ground Water in Tank Command Area
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The best way to discuss the results of a multinomial logit model are the marginal effects. The interpretation of log-of-odds of a multinomial logit model are difficult to interpret. Authors should go further and generate the marginal values of a better discussion. The references are somehow inconsistent. For example, reference (2) should provide the author, printer and possibly a dated link for the source. See also references (3), (7), (21), and (22), The article generates new knowledge about technology adoption coupled with conjunctive use of ground water. The authors have put much effort to prepare it as shown in the contents.	Thank you for your comments. Marginal effect has been interpreted in best possible way sir/madam. Reference section has been corrected .author, printer name has been included.
Minor REVISION comments	Krishikosh.egranth.ac.in	
Optional/General comments	Some of the main sources used in this article are not appropriately cited.	Changes have been carried out.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>