



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_45772
Title of the Manuscript:	Study the Profile Characteristics of Farm Women with reference to Decision Making Behaviour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. What are the rice cultivation practices you mean in this study? 2. Why sample size of 120? How did you come across such sample size? You should state clearly in materials and methods 3. Do some cross tabulations and some test like chi square test to relate the profile characteristics with cultivation practices 4. I would suggest splitting the table so that you can present more than one table and probably with some figures. Only one table is not enough for discussion in a paper 5. I would suggest to separate results and discussion 6. Relate the results from your work with the findings of other reseachers	Based on the review slightly changes the title and also content. Carry out the correction. My thesis work An Analysis on Decision making behaviour of farm women in paddy cultivation in Thanjavur district. This article is just one part of my objective. Nil Completed correction. I have included the reference.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 5 in Abstract:.....always play a change "a" to an	Carry out the correction
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	