



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_44213
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Efficiency Analysis of Pineapple Production at Madhupur Upazila of Tangail District, Bangladesh: A Translog Stochastic Cost Frontier Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript is well presented and clear to follow. However, you can consider having a figures for some of your tables. For example, tables 1 and 4. Also, you can remove the frequency counts and just present the percentages since we already know your sample size. Otherwise this is a good paper.	We thank the reviewer for this suggestion. We have omitted the frequency counts from the tables and just present the percentages. But, we are not willing to consider figures for our tables because the same items are described in texts and tables. We think that if we consider figures along with text and table, there will be more repetition of the same information. Hope that this addresses the concerns of the reviewer.
Optional/General comments		