



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_44041
Title of the Manuscript:	Market Analysis of Freshwater Chinese Mitten Crab and Support to the Farmers in the Jiangsu Province of China
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I think the document is well written. The figure 1 need restructuration Friend mitten crab farmers and Wholesalers is 136.5%. The total is not 100%?. It is also necessary for figure 2. In the Training in the final section of results would be important to indicate the source of these trainings (government or NGO). In the discussion the topic Food safety remains a major burden facing the seafood industry: In the whole study there were no data on the quality of the product, forms of storage or infrastructure, in the absence of these data I suggest to take the paragraph. Credit has significant role for the removal of farmer. In the whole study no data on credit were presented, if there is data, I suggest to be included in the results in order to strengthen this line.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emil José Hernández Ruz
Department, University & Country	Faculdade de Ciências Biológicas, Federal University of Pará, Brazil