



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_43489
Title of the Manuscript:	POTENTIAL FOR ITK THROUGH AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION – AN ANALYTICAL STUDY IN SELECTED DISTRICTS OF TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	2: ITK should be written in full 3: It is advisable to include after Tamil Nadu- District, State, Province, Nation as the case may be 5 – 6: Recast the sentence 11: Majority (what percentage?) 5 – 19: The abstract did not capture some core issues of the paper like scope, sample size and methodology. Besides, it should have a concluding statement and at least a recommendation 27: Unprecedented and not unprecendental 65: The introduction should close with stating the specific objectives 77: Table 1: Use either Frequency or Percentage and not the two. Indicate clearly under the Table that's your results were multiple responses 78 – 80: Discussion on Table 1 are insufficient 84: Table 2: Reverse the Ranking in such a way that number 1 comes first 105: Scot Jeffrey (State reference) 139 – 159: Recommendations should be clearly headlined	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The References are insufficient. This is an analytical study and I expect more robust references and previous scholarly position on the topic	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ighoro Alexander</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Technology, Nigeria</i>