



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_43489
Title of the Manuscript:	POTENTIAL FOR ITK THROUGH AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION – AN ANALYTICAL STUDY IN SELECTED DISTRICTS OF TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	[1] Abstract Line 8, write...practices, to assess popular... Line 15, write... 95.56 percent of the... [2] Line 20, Keywords is one word... [3] Line 28, write ... white collar jobs [4] Line 42, add reference to the paragraph [5] Line 59, add space before ITK... [6] Line 66, Methodology section You need to address the following missing information: Research strategy Questionnaire design Data analysis Software used [7] Line 76, write... Table 1 [remove the dot] [8] Line 77, write... Table 1: Knowledge... [9] Line 78, write... The study indicates the 86.47% of the respondents takes pride... [10] Line 79, write. 46.47% feel that there... {As long as we have the exact number use it...} [11] Line 84, write... Table 2: Ranking...	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	[12] Line 85, write... ITK practices, all the... [13] Line 86, write... 95.56% of the farmers opined... [14] Line 97, write... Table 3: Demerits... [15] Line 101, write... 43.33% of the farmers believed.... [16] Line 105, write... Scott Jeffrey (year???).... Then add to your references... [17] Line 114, add the references when you mention... The two studies??? [18] Lines 118-122.... Were the farmers asked about their opinion about it???? This should have been incorporated in the questionnaire for feedback???? [19] Line 124, Add reference about Project Tiger... [20] Line 139, Give example and reference [21] The whole section after Line 104, must be validated with reported practices elsewhere to add validity... That is, you need to quote other references for similar practices!! [22] Add reference Line 161. [23] This paper is poor with reported secondary work about the topic. This must be reviewed thoroughly and be improved... [24] Must address the implications on several dimensions: Society, Business, Government, Educational sector roles...	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Though the topic is acceptable, this paper is poor with reported secondary work about the topic. This must be reviewed thoroughly and be improved...	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Business Administration, Al Maaref University, Lebanon

