Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_43048**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATION OF THE ELASTICITY OF FARM OUTPUT FOR SOME SELECTED INPUTS AMONG SMALLHOLDER FARMERS IN THREE AGRO-ECOLOGICAL ZONES OF EMBU COUNTY IN KENYA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title of Manuscript: "Evaluation of the Elasticity of Farm Output for Some Selected Inputs Among Smallholder Farmers in Three Agro-Ecological Zones of Embu County in Kenya" The study is quite interesting but I have these few comments to make; The title of this manuscript may need slight adjustment. You may need to reconstruct this title as "Evaluation of the Elasticity of Farm Output Among Smallholder Farmers in Selected Agro- ecological Zones of Embu County, Kenya" The abstract was well presented. The introduction section needs to be worked upon especially in the aspect of previous works (empirical literature) in the literature based on the subject matter in this study. The research objectives may not necessarily be presented in a different subheading but may be merged with in the tail-end of the introduction section. Also, you did not give any descriptive statistics on the socioeconomic characteristics of the smallholder farmers used for this study. You may need to add the data on this in a brief and concise manner. The methodology was also well presented but little addition should be provided in the aspect of justifying the findings presented in the results and discussion section by comparing them with the works in the literature either to support your findings or refute it. You may also add the map of your study area in this manuscript to add colour to your work. When you are able to compare your research findings with already existing ones in the literature, this will increase the number of references utilized in this study. You may need to consider this.	
Minor REVISION comments	All the minor revision comments are already given in the original manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Olutosin A. Otekunrin***
Department, University & Country	***Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta (FUNAAB), Nigeria***