



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_41576
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of the Job Satisfaction of Agricultural Scientists
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Line number 50 : 'A through ' Line number 51 : After constraints give coma Line number 66 : ' by using' – by not required Line number 89 : Sentence not clear	
Optional/General comments	The central concept of the article i.e. to measure the job satisfaction of Agricultural scientists is an interesting and legitimate but the study does not give an in-depth analysis of the factors contributing to the job satisfaction or dissatisfaction. The output of result needs to be presented more comprehensively so that it can be used for further research or application.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shyam.S.Salim
Department, University & Country	Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, India