



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_41043
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF PRI MEMBERS REGARDING SELECTED SCHEMES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Excellent and outstanding short article which deals with quite an innovative and original issue at stake. Despite its brevity, it's based on sound methodology and data, being also backed up by the presence of different tables to support the author's own argument on this concrete issue.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I would recommend the author to expand the conclusions and recommendations sections which seem to be rather elusive and short, taking into consideration the amount of data offered in the article.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This excellent and original article is perfectly suitable for its publication due to its originality and preciseness. However, I would recommend the author to expand a little bit both the conclusions and recommendations offered at the end of the article.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonio D. Juan Rubio
Department, University & Country	Department of Education, Universidad Internacional de La Rioja, Spain