



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40906
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' perception on constraints in adoption of double cropping in Upper Brahmaputra Valley Zone of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Details about the work methodology are missing. It's too succinct. All questions posed to farmers must be presented. The questions asked must be justified</p> <p>The results presented are limited to a single table. There's no more available info?</p> <p>The discussion is too poor</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jordi Comas Angelet
Department, University & Country	Universitat POLitècnica de Catalunya, Spain