



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40518
Title of the Manuscript:	Livelihood Security of Tribal Farmers in Adilabad District through Goat Farming
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a good article which provides the importance of goats in the country's district which is drought-ridden and poverty riddled. However the author is advised to come out more clearly to argue the case with similar studies from literature as would be shown below.</p> <p>The study should include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">At the end of the introduction, indicate what the study will discuss (include).OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY??LITERATURE REVIEW (Provide the findings from related studies) <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <p>Include the following:</p> <p>Data collection methods should be identified and why?</p> <p>How were beneficiaries identified?</p> <p>If any sample used, identify it and why you chose it?</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (Discussion is one sided: I expect the author to confirm and contrast findings from literature and empirical). This will then give the author to identify the findings otherwise the author has pre-determined findings. As it is, it is merely a story.</p> <p>CONCLUSION</p> <p>Recommendations???</p> <p>INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:</p> <p>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</p> <p>COMPETING INTERESTS</p> <p>REFERENCES</p> <p>Carefully check the requirements of the Journal</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:
<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mavhungu Elias Musitha
Department, University & Country	South Africa