



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40142
Title of the Manuscript:	WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR FOREST CONSERVATION AMONG VILLAGERS LIVING AT THE PERIMETER FENCE OF INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TROPICAL AGRICULTURE (I.I.T.A) IBADAN, OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript "Willingness to pay for forest conservation among villagers living at the perimeter fence of international Institute of tropical Agriculture (I.I.T.A) Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria" is well-done and interesting from the scientific point of view. The results of the study could support decision makers in order to implement forest conservation activities in line with people's opinions.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Simply the title in the following way: "Villagers' willingness to pay for forest conservation in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria" or similar. <p>Keywords</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete from the list of keywords "Willingness to pay" because it's already in the title. <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page 2, lines 48-49: change the sentences as follows: "Nigeria is characterized by an abundant forest resources: FAO (2005) statistics indicate that 12.2% of Nigeria's land area (11,089,000 ha) is covered with forests. However, forests in Nigeria are seriously threatened by deforestation and other environmental problems". - Page 2, lines 63-65: Do you have data about the area% of each forest type? - Page 2, line 49 and 71: in the list of reference is FAN (2005), while in the text FAO (2005). - Page 2, line 88: change from "10 percent" to "10%". - Page 3, line 89: change from "6 percent" to "6%". - Page 3, lines 89-90: add the exact number of forest reserves. <p>Objectives of the study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page 4, line 150: delete the first objective "describe the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents" because it is not an objective of the study while being functional to the other two objectives. <p>Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page 4, line 171: Tenkouano and Baiyeri (2007) is not in the list of references. - Page 4, lines 185-188: Do you have the number of villagers for each village? Add this information in the text. - Page 5, lines 189-190: How did you select the respondents in each group? Add this information in the text. - Page 5, lines 209-201: How did you have described the scenario of forest conservation in the questionnaire? Add this information in the text. - Page 6, line 262: Cooper and Loomis (1992) is not in the list of references. - Page 6-7, lines 272-315: this part of the text is difficult to read. Many of the information reported are also in Table 1, we recommend summarizing the text. - Page 7, line 305: What does "income" mean? household or individual? <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page 8, line 368: Add the exact percentage. - Page 8, lines 371-373: Delete the sentence "The average age of farmers and hunters....and herbalist 63 years" because it is a repetition of what has already been said in the "Results" section. - Page 9, line 419: change from "1 percent" to "1%". - Page 9, line 425: delete "1 percent". - Page 9, lines 426-427: In which countries have the two studies been carried out? - Page 9, line 434: change from "5 percent" to "5%". <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page 10: add in the "Conclusion" the advantages and disadvantages of the method adopted with special regard to the data collection phase. - Page 10: add in the last part of the "Conclusion" the future findings. 	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alessandro Paletto
Department, University & Country	Italy