



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_39604
Title of the Manuscript:	MICRO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF MARKETING SELECTED NON-TIMBER FOREST PRODUCTS (NTFPs) IN AWGU AGRICULTURAL ZONE OF ENUGU STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I found this study quite interesting and informative about microeconomic analysis of marketing NTFPs in the study area but these are my few comments on this manuscript; - In the abstract section, concerning the keywords, make use of words and phrases that are not already included in the title of this study. I mean, do not repeat any words or phrases already included in the title of your research. - The introduction section is too scanty, you can still add some literature review to this manuscript especially on the marketing of Non Timber Forest Products (NTFPs) and previous empirical findings to really bring out the gap your study has set out to fill. - In Results and discussion section, let each table flow with its discussion. Do not refer to the Table (Y), just let each table of the discussion given follow that particular discussion. This will sustain the follow of reporting your research findings in a logical manner with clarity and gain better understanding by your readers. Also, you can support your findings with the use of previous empirical works positively or negatively. In your references section, the references are too small for this kind of study. You can still search for relevant references especially on the literature review aspect of this study. Please, endeavour to be sure that your references are relevant and retrievable by other researchers that may want to make use of them.	
Minor REVISION comments	All minor corrections are already included in the corrected version of the main manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olutosin A. Otekunrin
Department, University & Country	Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta (FUNAAB), Nigeria