SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_37943**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Decision making behaviour of Medicinal Plant Growers**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Line 121 medium and young aged is mentioned yet no data to support it**	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 5…Household Line 6…. Use Had, line 7 … use of hence, line 8… adding to between comparing and other, use of etc in line 13. Line 21 use of increasing instead of increase and use of the in line 24 may be unnecessary. Use of et. al. …. et al., Line 35, the sentence seems incomplete Line 44… there are Line 45 because and reason is one thing author may choose one Line 49 .. How were the blocks chosen Line 56 … using well structured may need revision Line 75 use of perusal may be replaced by analysis and Table 2 instead of Table 1 Line 84 starting of sentence with …. And…. may be revised	
Optional/General comments	Editor may advise on italicization of abstract	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Javan Ngeywo***
Department, University & Country	***Kenya***