



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_36046
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS AND FACTORS ASSOCIATION WITH FOOD PROCESSING TRAINING AS PERCEIVED BY SC WOMEN OF HARYANA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Name of the project needs to be mentioned in the abstract in line 11 as well as in methodology. 2. The abstract is not written in a logical manner. 3. Title says constraints and factors associated with food processing industry, but introduction indicates about constraints analysis only. 4. Mention the data collection period in the methodology. 5. How the authors identified 104 respondents for the study from a total of 155 SC women trainees? 6. Whether the respondents have already become entrepreneurs? 7. Abstract and conclusion are same and just repeated. 8. In first recommendation, authors should specify the number of days of training and quantum of training hour in a day with timings also. 9. Recommendations did not emerge from the findings of the study 10. Clarity is lacking about the purpose of study, selection of respondents and interpretation of findings.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.Ponnusamy
Department, University & Country	ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, India