



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_34867
Title of the Manuscript:	Communication Pattern of Supervisors of Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) Project
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The subjects are 30 Home Science and 30 Non Home science categories. The study did not mention any difference or relationship factor clearly between these two groups. The reader would be interested to know whether both the categories of subjects had similar communication patterns or if there was any difference. Since two different groups have been chosen, the study should definitely mention the purpose of choosing two different groups. The main purpose of the study states that the college faculty were interested to know the communication patterns of their college products that are home science graduates. In conclusion, the study should indicate the purpose of selecting the Non-Home Science subjects and whether there was any difference between the two groups or if there was any similarity between the two groups in the results.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Because of face to face communication exchanged their ideas, feelings, directly with each other and most of the Supervisors used group methods with their Anganawadi workers as it was easy to transfer the information to the group of people Grammatical correction needs to be done in the above sentence (lines 13-15) Sentence 34 correction of parenthesis Many reference information has not been mentioned like ICDS, Supervisor definition (line 35,36,37 &41, 42)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 39 (March 2010), reference is not clearly mentioned Ethical Issue: It would be preferable for the researcher to include that informed consent from the subjects have been taken before the study was conducted.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Information on Informed consent can be included in Material and Methods, so the reader will know that the Supervisors answered it voluntarily. This study will definitely be very useful in learning the communication pattern which is a very important aspect of sharing and diffusing knowledge. The Supervisors in the ICDS play a major role in running the project successfully. (http://www.nipccd-earchive.wcd.nic.in/sites/default/files/PDF/Job%20Responsibilities%20of%20Supervisors.pdf)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Vidya.L.Rao</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Special Education Department, Williamson County Public Schools, USA</i>