



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_34867
Title of the Manuscript:	Communication Pattern of Supervisors of Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) Project
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Add objectives of the study at the end of introduction. 2. Add the exact statistical tests used in this analysis in methodology and also describe which variables were continuous and categorical. 3. Also mention the response rate; as you sent the questionnaires through post. 4. Since there are multiple answers of all questions do mention it in results that there are overlapping responses for all variables. 5. This is for tables 1-4: please present the findings in this form, eg. Table 1: all the methods of communication were being used by the supervisors, however; for colleagues HSS and Non HSS used individual method as preferred method (93.33 and 90.00 respectively) in majority of cases. For AWW the predominant mode of contact was group contact in both the groups. Whereas for rural women, group method by HSS (70) and mass method by non HSS(70) was preferred. For all the tables discuss the maximum proportion in each column not row wise. 6. In table 5 and 6: communication pattern is categorical or continuous variable? What test is applied? Against all independent variables mention the type of variable. For eg. As mentioned in line 156 that nuclear	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	family has better communication pattern: mention what type of family have you taken as of higher order (nuclear or joint in categorical or number of members in continuous variable). The results are confusing the statements. 7. Discussion and Conclusion are missing 8. References need numbering in text also	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Abstract can be presented in structured format of background, objectives, methods and results. 2. Give operational definitions of all the methods described; individual, group and mass. (what is the difference in group and mass) 3. For all the tables from table 1 to 4 write only one or two important findings, do not describe whole table. Compare the HS and NHS group for each method in text also. 4. Instead of applying regression analysis separately on HSS and NHSS it can be used as one variable for comparison and the predictors can be used as independent variables.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Grammatical errors need correction. Have highlighted lines in manuscript to be reworded.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mitasha Singh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Community Medicine, Esic Medical College And Hospital, India</i>