



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_34867
Title of the Manuscript:	Communication Pattern of Supervisors of Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) Project
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The background should mention why this particular study was initiated. 2. How was the sample size of 60 achieved? 3. Was consent taken before enrolling the study participants, if yes how? 4. Since questionnaires were sent through post, were any measures taken to make sure only supervisors filled them? 5. The supervisors may have used different types of communication methods at different times. The author should mention how communication method question was asked? Was it the most preferred method or the only method? 6. Statistical analysis must be clearly explained in the methodology section: what statistical tests were applied; what p value was considered to be significant? How was regression coefficient calculated? 7. Table 5,6, and 7 are unclear. What is meant by "communication pattern"? and the tables should show proper crosstabs. 8. Discussion is incomplete, the author fails to discuss the findings and whether they were similar or different to studies in the past Ethical Issue: Author doesn't mention if consent was taken.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. In the results section both numbers and percentages should be mentioned.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	2. Author doesn't comment on the generalisability of the study findings.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript requires grammatical revision	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Meenakshi Bhilwar</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Community Medicine, Vardhman Mahavir Medical College and Safdarjung Hospital, India</i>