

Role of Front Line Demonstration on Zero Tillage Wheat Sowing in Ambala District of Haryana, India

ABSTRACT

Krishi vigyan Kendra conducted front line demonstrations (30 Nos) on sowing of wheat by zero tillage method at farmer's field (12 hectare) during years 2015-17 in Ambala. The data on productivity, economic and water saving in demonstrated plots were calculated and compared with the corresponding farmer practice. It was observed that yield of demonstrated plots was 11.60 per cent higher than farmer practices. The extension gap, technology gap and technology index were 5.42 q/ha, 2.92 q/ha and 5.30 percent respectively. Due to reduced cost of cultivation and higher crop yield, the gross and net return was also higher in zero tillage as compared to the farmers practice. The BCR was 3.31, 3.35 and 3.74 in zero tillage, which was higher than in farmers practice 2.59, 2.59 and 2.83 respectively. The depth of irrigation was also less i.e. 33.03 ha-cm and 41.04 ha-cm respectively in zero tillage and farmer practice. Higher yield & returns due to reduced cost of cultivation and water saving in the FLDs over the farmers practice created greater awareness and motivated the other farmers to adopt this latest wheat sowing technology.

Key words: FLD; wheat; zero tillage; yield gap; economics

1. INTRODUCTION

India is the second largest producer of wheat in the world with an average annual production of 92 Mt (million tonnes) in recent years (1). The seven-fold increase in wheat production (12.57 million tonnes in 1965-66 to 84.27 million tonnes in 2010-11) during the last five decades has been a remarkable and unparalleled achievement. (2). Haryana is one of the major wheat-growing state in the country and produces 13.10 million tons wheat with yield level of 5.20 tons per hectare (3). The largest acreage and highest production of wheat is in Uttar Pradesh but the highest average yield (5.02 ton/ha.) was observed in Haryana followed by Punjab (4.90 ton/ha.) during 2011-12. For instance it is important to note that wheat productivity in Haryana during 2010-11 was 4.65 ton/ha, while it was 3.98 ton/ha during 2014-15 besides slight increase in cultivated area.

In Haryana, many farmers grow late-maturing, fine-grained basmati varieties of rice, causing late sowing of wheat. The delay of every successive day in planting beyond November third week decreases the grain yield. Therefore, to avoid delay in planting and reduce the cost of production, farmers have started adopting resource conserving technologies such as zero tillage and surface seeding in wheat production (4) and rapid and widespread adoption of Zero Tillage (ZT) has been started in Haryana state from 2001 (5). Savings in input cost, fuel consumption and irrigation water-use have been reported due to adoption of zero tillage in wheat cultivation (6,7).

As, the main aim of Krishi Vigyan Kendra is to reduce the time lag between generation of technology at the research institution and its transfer to the farmers for increasing productivity and income from the agriculture and allied sectors on sustained basis. The KVKs are grass root level organizations meant for application of technology through assessment, refinement and demonstration of proven technologies under different 'micro farming' situation in a district (8). Despite the documented positive agronomic, economic and environmental impacts, conservation tillage under wheat has not yet become widely popular in many parts of Ambala. In Ambala zero tillage sowing of wheat has only been practiced in Ambala-I block. For its horizontal expansion we planned to conduct front line demonstration of this innovative sowing method. The present study has been undertaken with the following objectives:

- To study the differences between demonstrated packages of practices *vis-à-vis* practices followed by the local farmers (farmers' practices) in terms of extension gaps/technology gaps.
- To compare the yield of summer moong of demonstrated plots with the farmers' practices for its economic analysis and irrigation water consumption.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The soils of the district are generally sandy loam to sandy clay loam in texture which is low to medium in organic carbon (0.4 to 0.75%), medium to high in available phosphorus (10-25 kg/ha) and low to medium in potash (100-200 kg/ha). The area is characterized by sub-tropical, semi-arid climate with dry summers (March-June) and severe winters (December-January) with an average annual rainfall of 1100 mm (75-80% of which is received during July to September), minimum temperature of 0 to 4°C in January, maximum temperature of 38-42°C in June. Conventional rice-wheat rotation was being followed on the field from last 15 years.

The front line demonstration on Role of front line demonstration on Zero tillage wheat sowing in Ambala district of Haryana was conducted from year 2015 to year 2017. Each demonstration was of 0.4 ha area and wheat seed and zero tillage seed drill was supplied as critical input for partial fulfilment and other inputs were applied as per the recommendation and wheat variety HD-2967 was most commonly grown at their fields. The sowing of wheat was done during 30th October to 5th November in zero tillage, whereas it was sown from 12th to 20th November in conventional tillage (farmer practice) and harvested during mid of April.

The total 30 front line demonstrations in 12 hectares were conducted at farmers' field in different villages of district Ambala. Along with front line demonstrations (FLD), practicing farmer training on calibration, operation and maintenance of zero tillage seed drill was also imparted. All fertilizers were drilled at the time of sowing in demonstrated fields, whereas, it was broadcast in farmers' practice. Three irrigation given to crop in zero tillage, while in addition to these three irrigation in conventional tillage fields, one pre-sowing irrigation was also given.

Table 1. Details of wheat grown under FLD and farmer practice

S. No.	Particulars	Front line demonstration	Farmer practice
1	Variety	HD-2967	HD-2967
2	Laser levelling	Yes	No
3	Seed rate (Kg/ha)	100	112.5
4	Seed treatment	Raxil-2 D.S. 1gm/kg seed	No
5	Sowing method	Zero tillage sowing after harvesting of paddy	Conventional tillage <i>i.e.</i> 2 disking + 2 cultivator + 2 planker + seed drill + planker
6.	Sowing date	30 th October to 5 th November	12 th to 20 th November
6	Fertilizer application (N:P:K) and Zinc sulphate (kg/ha)	150:60:60 and 25kg zinc sulphate Soil test based	175:24:0 and no zinc application
7.	Weed control	Less emergence and easy to control through single application of weedicide	More emergence and difficult to control even with higher doses of weedicides
8	Plant protection measures	Need based spray of insecticides and fungicides	Over dose/ un recommended brands of insecticides and fungicides

75

76

77

78 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

79 **3.1 GRAIN YIELD**

80 The crop from all the plots was harvested under the supervision of the KVK scientists. The
 81 yield from both the plots *i.e.* demonstration and farmers' practices were compared and it is evident
 82 from the data in Table 2 that an average yield of demonstrated plots was 11.60 per cent higher than
 83 that of farmer practices. The grain yield under demonstrated plots were 52.50, 50.00 and 53.75 qtl/ha
 84 with an average of 52.08 qtl/ha from year 2015 to 2017. However it was 47.50, 45.00 and 47.50 qtl/ha
 85 with an average of 46.67 qtl/ha under farmer practice. The highest increase in grain yield (13.16) was
 86 observed in year 2017. The reasons behind the increase of yield under demonstrated plots might be
 87 due to timely sowing and adoption of other recommended technologies about which the farmers were
 88 ignorant. (9) also observed the higher wheat yield in zero tillage as ZT wheat farmers could sow the

crop much earlier than their conventional counterpart and early sowing is associated with higher yield, a significant and positive yield impact (Increased by 8 per cent) observed in the study area.

3.2 EXTENSION GAP

To fulfil the objective number 1, an extension gap between demonstrated technology and farmers practices was also calculated and it ranged from 5.00 to 6.25 qtl/ha during different three years and on average basis the extension gap of 5.42 qtl/ha was calculated (Table 2). This gap might be attributed to adoption of improved technology practices such as proper seed rate, use of seed treatment material, nutrient management, pest management etc. in demonstrated plots which resulted in higher grain yield than the traditional farmers, practices. On the basis of extension gap, the farmers were motivated to adopt recommended package of practices to reduce the extension gap and to increase their grain yield.

3.3 TECHNOLOGY GAP

The technology gap was calculated by deducting the demonstrated plot yield from potential yield of wheat crop. The recorded technology gap was 2.50, 5.00 and 1.25 qtl/ha during study period. The average technology gap was found 2.92 q/ha. The difference in technology gap during three years could be due to more feasibility of recommended technologies like sowing time, seed rate, seed treatment, nutrient management and plant protection measures especially IPM. Higher technology index reflected the inadequate proven technology for transferring to farmers and insufficient extension services for transfer of technology.

Table 2. Grain yield and gap analysis of FLDs and farmer practices

Year	No. of demons	Area (ha)	Yield (qtl/ha)		Increase (%)	Extension gap (q/ha)	Technology gap (qtl/ha)	Technology index (%)
			Demo	F.P.				
2015	10	4	52.50	47.50	10.53	5.00	2.50	4.55
2016	10	4	50.00	45.00	11.11	5.00	5.00	9.09
2017	10	4	53.75	47.50	13.16	6.25	1.25	2.27
Average	10	4	52.08	46.67	11.60	5.42	2.92	5.30

3.4 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS AND WATER SAVING

The cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) during year 2015 to 2017 was 26780, 27100 and 27500 respectively in zero tillage sown wheat. While it was 31235, 31850 and 32450 in conventionally sown fields (Table 3.). Particularly in conventional sowing due to more number of tillage operations, the average higher cost of cultivation in conventional sown field was 4718 (Rs/ha). Due to reduced cost of cultivation and higher crop yield, the gross and net return was also higher in zero tillage as compared to the conventional sowing. The BCR was 3.31, 3.35 and 3.74 in zero tillage, which was higher than in conventional sowing 2.59, 2.59 and 2.83 respectively.

On water front, zero tillage technology consumes less water as one pre sowing irrigation does not require. In addition to this during the average time for irrigation was 7.65 hr/ha and 9.50 hr/ha in zero tillage and conventional sowing respectively. Consequently, the depth of irrigation was also less in zero tillage as compared to conventional sowing i.e. 33.03 ha-cm and 41.04 ha-cm respectively in zero tillage and conventional sowing. (10, 11) also reported saving in input cost and irrigation water use in zero tillage wheat cultivation.

Table 3. Economic analysis and water saving in demonstrated plots and farmers' practice

Year	Cost cultivation of (Rs./ha)		Gross returns (Rs./ha)		Net return (Rs/ha)		B:C ratio		No. of irrigation (no.) and depth of irrigation (ha-cm)		Irrigation water saved (%)
	Demo	F.P.	Demo	F.P.	Demo	F.P.	Demo	F.P.	Demo	F.P.	
2015	26780	31235	88563	80938	61783	49703	3.31	2.59	3 (32.94)	4 (41.04)	24.59

2016	27100	31850	90750	82625	63650	50775	3.35	2.59	3 (33.21)	4 (41.31)	24.39
2017	27500	32450	102756	91913	75256	59463	3.74	2.83	3 (32.94)	4 (40.77)	23.77
Average	27127	31845	94023	85158	66896	53313	3.46	2.67	3 (33.03)	4 (41.04)	24.25

125

126 4. CONCLUSION

127 In the present scenario of rising inputs cost and labour shortage in agriculture, farmers need
 128 input saving alternative technologies to sustain crop production. In zero tillage wheat cultivation, both
 129 yield and net returns were 11.60% and 25.40% higher than conventional wheat sowing. Similarly
 130 average 24 % irrigation water was saved in zero tillage. The increase in yield of wheat to the extent of
 131 FLDs over the conventional sowing created greater awareness and motivated the other farmers to
 132 adopt this latest wheat sowing technology. The beneficiary farmers of FLDs also play an important
 133 role as source of information. The concept of front line demonstrations may be applied to all farmer
 134 categories including progressive farmers for speedy and wider dissemination of the recommended
 135 practices to other members of the farming community.

136

137

138 REFERENCES

- 139 1. Annual Report 2017-18, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare Ministry
 140 of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare Government of India. 2018. Accessed 20 June 2018.
 141 Available: <http://www.agricoop.nic.in/sites/default/files/Krishi%20AR%202017-18-1%20for%20web.pdf>
 142
- 143 2. Indu Sharma, Vision 2030, Directorate of wheat research, 2011. Accessed 10 June 2018.
 144 Available: <http://www.iiwbr.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/DWR-Vision-2030.pdf>
- 145 3. Statistical abstract of Haryana 2013-14, Department of Economic and statistical Analysis,
 146 Haryana. 2015. Accessed 15 June 2018. Available:
 147 <http://esaharyana.gov.in/Portals/0/statistical-abstract-2013-14.pdf>
- 148 4. Gupta RK, Seth A. A review of resource conserving technologies for sustainable management
 149 of the rice wheat systems of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Crop Protection, 2007; 26(3): 436-447.
- 150 5. Laxmi V, Erenstein O, Gupta, RK. Impact of Zero Tillage in India's Rice-Wheat Systems.
 151 CIMMYT and RWC Research Report. 2007. CIMMYT and the Rice-Wheat Consortium for the
 152 Indo-Gangetic Plains, New Delhi, India. ISBN: 978-970-648-159-7.
- 153 6. Malik RK, Yadav A, Singh S, Sardana PK, Gill G, Hobbs PR, Bellinder R. Herbicide
 154 resistance management and introduction of zero tillage in wheat in India. Proceedings of
 155 Weed Science Society of America, 2003; 43-55.
- 156 7. Bhushan L, Ladha JK, Gupta RK., Singh S, Padre TA, Sarawat Y S, Gathala M, Pathak H.
 157 Saving of water and labour in rice-wheat system with no tillage and direct seeding
 158 technologies. Agronomy Journal. 2007; 99 (5): 1288-1296.
- 159 8. Das P. Proceedings of the meeting of DDG (AE).ICAR, with officials of state departments,
 160 ICAR institutes and Agricultural Universities, NRC Mithun, Jharmapani, Zonal Coordinating
 161 Unit, Zone-III, Barapani, Meghalaya, India. 2007; pp 6.
- 162 9. Mukesh Meena, Rajesh T, Karma Beer. Adoption and impact of zero tillage in the rice-wheat
 163 production system of Haryana. Indian J. Agric. Res. 2016; 50 (6): 584-588. Print ISSN:0367-
 164 8245 / Online ISSN:0976-058X
- 165 10. Tripathi RS, Raju R,Thimmappa, K. Impact of Zero Tillage on Economics of Wheat Production
 166 in Haryana. Agricultural Economics Research Review. 2013;Vol. 26 (1) January-June 2013
 167 pp 101-108.
- 168 11. Raju R, Tripathi RS, Thimmappa K. Economics of zero tillage and conventional methods of
 169 rice and wheat production in Haryana. Journal of Soil Salinity and Water Quality 2012;4(1), pp
 170 34-38