

Comparative Costs and Returns of Mulberry and Cocoon Production under Rainfed and Irrigated Conditions - An Economic Analysis

ABSTRACT

A study has been undertaken to work out the costs involved and returns generated in mulberry and cocoon production among the farmers of rainfed and irrigated conditions in Chamarajanagar district of Karnataka state, India. In mulberry production, costs (fixed and variable) involved in production of mulberry was lower with rainfed farmers over irrigated farmers with lesser among small farmers over medium and big farmers. The unit cost of mulberry production was lower under irrigated condition as compared to rainfed condition being lower among big farmers over medium and small farmers. However, gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio were more under irrigated condition over rainfed condition with higher being among big farmers over medium and small farmers. In cocoon production, total cost of cocoon production was lower with rainfed farmers as compared to irrigated farmers with lesser among small farmers category over medium and big farmers category. The unit cost of cocoon production was lower under irrigated farmers over rainfed farmers with least being among medium farmers over big and small farmers. Gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio were more under irrigated condition when compared to rainfed condition with better returns among big farmers over medium and small farmers.

Key words: Costs, Returns, Mulberry, Cocoon, Rainfed farmers, Irrigated farmers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sericulture is an agro-based industry which involves multi-disciplinary programmes like cultivation of food plants, silkworm rearing and cocoon production and silk reeling. It is a labour intensive rural industry assumes importance of its own, particularly in India where employment opportunities have to be created especially in the rural areas to provide gainful employment to the under employed, the unemployed and the landless persons. The inherent economics of sericulture and its capacity to give quick returns have brought a change in the values.

Indian sericulture industry falls under Mahatma Gandhi's classification of a technology based on "production by masses and not by production methods" thus; sericulture is both a way of life and a

means to the security of livelihood in well over 50 thousand villages in the country. It is this form of industry that is most relevant to our current socio-economic conditions. The investment needs are low. The industry can substitute knowledge and labour for capital. It provides an opportunity for unskilled to become skilled.

36

Sericulture in India has turned out to be a highly remunerative enterprise with minimum capital base and yielding reasonably good returns *vis-a-vis* other enterprises. It is one of the stable enterprises which provide regular flow of returns in the tropical states like Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra and Kerala of the country throughout the year. Sericulture effectively transfers urban wealth to rural producers. It provides not only periodical return within a short period of time, but also assures potential family employment opportunities around the year.

43

Karnataka enjoys the lion's share (45%) in producing mulberry raw silk in India [1]. The sericulture industry has seen its glorious past way back in 18th century when Tippu Sultan, the powerful ruler of the erstwhile State of Mysore, initiated a number of developmental measures. Considering the socio-economic and ecological back drop of the Karnataka state, sericulture is conceived to be excellent economic support to the farmers especially those having marginal and medium level land holdings. It provides gainful employment and periodical income besides bringing significant change both in social and economic spheres of the rural and semi-urban areas and avoiding the migration of rural force to urban areas.

Even though sericulture is considered to be one of the important agricultural activities, the measurement of economic returns and the relative contribution of various inputs in the cocoon production system under rainfed and irrigated conditions play a major role in determining the cost of cocoon production. The pace of adoption of an innovation and consequent diffusion on a large scale is an essential feature of sericultural development. The adoption of innovations at an accelerated pace by large number of farmers is essentially a social process conditioned by variety of factors within and outside the social system concerned.

59

Sericulture is a remunerative crop for all categories of farmers with short gestation period and quick returns. The economics of sericulture depends on utilization and management of various resources

62 and inputs. Sericulture uplifts and ameliorates the economic conditions of farmers by way of
63 diversifying the farming system, high income generation at frequent intervals and providing off farm
64 employment opportunities [2]. In this backdrop, a study has been taken up to work out the economics
65 of mulberry and cocoon production among the rainfed and irrigated farmers in Chamarajanagar
66 district of Karnataka state, India.

67

68 **2. METHODOLOGY**

69 The investigation has been conducted in Chamarajanagar district of Karnataka, State, India.
70 Karnataka state has 70,958 ha of mulberry of which the crop occupies 1,103.97 ha in
71 Chamarajanagar district. The district has four taluks with a total geographical area of 5,69,901 ha. It is
72 located in the southern tip of Karnataka state and lies between the North latitude 11° 40' and 12° 06'
73 and East longitude 76° 24' and 77° 46'. The district chiefly comprises red sandy loam soil, in addition
74 to having black cotton soil in some pockets. The district receives an average annual rainfall of 791
75 mm. Altogether, 2821 farmers are practicing sericulture both under rainfed and irrigated conditions in
76 as many as 254 sericultural villages (Department of Sericulture, Govt. of Karnataka).

77

78 The district was purposively selected for the study as it has both rainfed (187.47 ha) and irrigated
79 (916.50 ha) mulberry. However, Chamarajanagar and Gundlupet taluks have both irrigated and
80 rainfed areas, while Kollegal and Yelandur taluks possess only irrigated areas.

81

82 A total of 240 farmers, 120 each under rainfed (Chamarajanagar and Gundlupet taluks) and irrigated
83 (Kollegal and Yelandur taluks) conditions comprising 60 farmers in each taluk were considered for the
84 study. The selection of villages and number of farmers interviewed for collection of data in each taluk
85 depends on the mulberry area and number of farmers practicing sericulture. The study was
86 formulated based on the preliminary field survey and in consultation with Technical Staff of the State
87 Department of Sericulture in different taluks of the Chamarajanagar district.

88

89 The information pertaining mulberry and cocoon yields among the farmers of the rainfed and irrigated
90 conditions was collected through formal discussion using interview schedule. Further, both under

rainfed and irrigated conditions, classifications of respondents were categorized into three groups namely big, medium and small land holding based on mulberry holding size as mentioned below:

Category	Rainfed condition		Irrigated condition	
	Area under mulberry (Acres)	No. of farmers	Area under mulberry (Acres)	No. of farmers
Small farmers	< 0.79	08	<0.83	12
Medium farmers	0.80 to 1.61	91	0.84 to 1.94	75
Big farmers	>1.62	21	>1.95	33

Costs and returns of mulberry and cocoon production

Costs-return analysis was worked out separately for mulberry (Rs./acre/year) and cocoon (Rs. 100 DFLs) both under rainfed and irrigated conditions among three categories of farmers namely small, medium and big farmers. For the purpose of collecting primary data, a structured schedule was used [3].

Cost of mulberry production

Mulberry is a perennial crop and once it is established properly during the first six months, it will start yielding in the second year and lasts for 15 to 20 years without significant reduction in yield. Based on the variety and plantation system practiced, the cost of mulberry cultivation was worked out. The cost of cultivation includes establishment cost (fixed cost) and maintenance cost (variable cost).

Establishment cost of mulberry garden

The apportioned cost of establishment was calculated by dividing the total establishment cost by the average life span of the mulberry variety possessed by the respondent. The establishment cost includes the cost of land preparation, cost of inputs like, seed materials, manures and fertilizers and human power utilized in plantation, inter-cultivation and irrigation upto the first harvest from the date of planting.

Maintenance cost of mulberry garden

The maintenance cost includes the cost of bullock, machine and human power utilized for ploughing and intercultural operations, cost of manure and fertilizers, cost of irrigation, revenue and cost of leaf harvest from the first harvest to the last crop of the year under reference.

Interest on working capital: The interest rate was calculated at the rate of 8% per annum on the actual amount incurred.

Interest on fixed capital: The interest rate was calculated at the rate of 8% per annum on the actual amount incurred for establishment of mulberry garden for 6 months period.

Cost of silkworm cocoon production

Rearing of silkworm requires certain specific pre-requisites like separate rearing house, rearing appliances and inputs viz., disinfectants, silkworm seed, etc. Most of the farmers in the study area owned a separate rearing house and Kolar Gold was the popular silkworm breeds reared. Many chawki rearing centres were established in the study area almost all farmers were buying chawki reared larvae. Shoot rearing method of rearing was found dominating and the mountages used includes the traditional bamboo chandrike, the plastic collapsible mountage commonly known as “Netrika” and the Japanese rotary card board mountages. Considering these facts the cost of cocoon production was estimated. The fixed cost and variable cost involved in silkworm rearing were assessed as explained below.

Fixed costs: The fixed cost was calculated on the all the items used for rearing based on the depreciation cost of the material.

Depreciation cost: Depreciation cost for the rearing house, equipments and machines used by an individual farmer were calculated separately as follows.

Annual depreciation =	Purchase / construction value
	Expected life span of the asset(s)

The average life span of the asset that was reported by the respondent was considered for computing the depreciation value.

Interest on fixed capital: The interest rate was calculated at the rate of 8% per annum on the actual value of the assets after deducting the depreciation cost for the year.

Operational costs: This includes the recurring or variable costs of different items used in silkworm rearing are cost of chawki worms, mulberry leaf, human labour, mountages, disinfection, miscellaneous charges, marketing charges, etc.

Interest on the working capital: The interest rate was calculated at the rate of 8% per annum on the actual cost incurred by the respondents.

Returns from cocoon production: The income realized by the sale of cocoons at the cocoon market by the respondents and other by-products accounted for the returns were valued at the prevailing market rate.

Net income realized from cocoon production: The gross income includes the value of cocoons transacted at the prevailing market rate, plus the value of by-products. The net income from cocoon production was estimated by deducting the total cost of cocoon production from the gross income.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the current investigations are tabulated in Tables 1 to 5 and explained along with the works of previous researchers in the following paragraphs:

Costs and returns of mulberry production

Cost of mulberry production includes two types namely variable and fixed costs and these costs were more under irrigated condition as compared to rainfed condition. Among three categories of farmers, variable costs were higher with small farmers (Rs.6,860 and 30,950/acre/year) over medium (Rs.7,930 and 33,250/acre/year) and big farmers (Rs.8,650 and 35,550/acre/year) under rainfed and irrigated conditions, respectively. Similarly, fixed cost *i.e.*, apportioned cost of establishment of

mulberry garden was less among small (Rs.865 and 1256/acre/year), when compared to medium (Rs.1056 and 1502/acre/year) and big farmers (Rs.1182 and 1760/acre/year) for rainfed and irrigated conditions, respectively.

The total costs (variable and fixed costs) too were less under rainfed condition (Rs.7,725, 8,986 and 9,832/acre/year) as compared to irrigated condition (Rs.32,306, 34,752 and 37,310/acre/year) among small, medium and big farmers, respectively. However, cost per kilogram of mulberry leaf production was less under irrigated farmers over rainfed farmers. Further, under irrigated condition, cost per kilogram of leaf production was least among big farmers (Rs.1.841) followed by medium (Rs.1.922) and small farmers (Rs.1.965). However, under rainfed condition, small farmers recorded less cost for one kilogram of leaf production (Rs.2.146) over medium (Rs.2.276) and big farmers (Rs.2.298). In the current results, higher cost of mulberry production under irrigated condition was mainly due to use of more quantity of inputs as compared to rainfed condition. However, decrease in unit cost of leaf production under irrigated condition was due to more quantity of leaf production coupled with more number of crops over rainfed condition.

The returns generated from mulberry production were higher under irrigated condition over rainfed condition. Under irrigated condition, among three categories of farmers, gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio were more among big farmers (Rs.71,949/acre/year, Rs.34,639/acre/year and Rs.1.928:1) as compared to medium (Rs.64,294/acre/year and Rs.29,542/acre/year and Rs.1.850:1) and small farmers (Rs.58,358, Rs.26,152/acre/year and Rs.1.812:1), respectively. However, under rainfed condition, gross returns was higher among big farmers (Rs.13,334/acre/year) over medium (Rs.12,347/acre/year) and small farmers (Rs.11,300/acre/year), while net returns higher among small farmers (Rs.3575/acre/year) when compared to big (Rs.3502/acre/year) and medium farmers (Rs.3361/acre/year). Similarly, B:C ratio was more under small farmers (Rs.1.463:1) over medium (Rs.1.374:1) and big farmers (Rs.1.356:1). Better benefits/returns accrued under irrigated condition over rainfed condition were mainly due to higher yield levels and better price for cocoons.

The cost of establishment of mulberry garden in Karnataka was Rs.4100 and Rs.3400 per hectare under rainfed and irrigated conditions, respectively [4]. Total cost of cultivation per acre of mulberry in

the first year was Rs.4105 with a net return of Rs.5315 and in the second year the total cost was Rs.4307 with a net return of Rs.7398 [5]. Cost of establishment of mulberry garden per hectare in T.S. Hally, Jagamohanahaly and Sugutur Villages in Kolar district of Karnataka were Rs.3268.80, Rs.3500.05 and Rs. 3524.65, respectively [6]. The total cost of establishment of one hectare of mulberry garden under irrigated condition in Jamakandi taluk of Bijapur district was Rs.2111.17 [7].

The farmers spent an amount of Rs.2251.99 for establishment of one acre of mulberry garden under rainfed condition. The maintenance cost of one acre of mulberry garden was Rs.596.77, the cost of production of one kg of mulberry leaf was Rs.0.85 and net income earned was Rs.617.84 [8]. Average cost of establishment of one acre of dry land mulberry for the large farmers was Rs. 3125.00 [9].

An average of Rs.1.52, 1.28, 1.16 and 1.09 were incurred in producing one kg of mulberry leaf Salem and Dharmapuri districts of Tamil Nadu for holding size groups I (0.01-0.50 ha), II (0.51-1.00 ha), III (1.01-1.50 ha) and IV (>1.50 ha), respectively [10]. Cost of mulberry garden maintenance was more in irrigated areas compared to rainfed areas because of frequent intercultural operations, more input usage and involvement of more labour [11].

The total cost of mulberry leaf production per acre was worked out to be Rs.14157.40/year [12]. The maintenance cost of one acre of mulberry garden was worked out to be Rs.8030 and Rs. 7912 in Kolar and Tumkur districts, respectively [13].

The cost of establishment of one acre of mulberry garden was Rs.5492.12 [14]. The revenue obtained from sericulture is fairly higher with continuous income throughout the year. The advantages and high profitability nature of sericulture can be made known to the farmers through extension programmes [15].

Costs and returns of cocoon production

The total cost of production of cocoons for rearing of 100 DFLs of silkworms among different categories of farmers (small, medium and big) could exhibit variations under rainfed and irrigated conditions. Total costs (variable and fixed) was relatively lower under rainfed condition being less among small farmers (Rs. 8370) over medium (Rs. 8552) and big farmers (Rs. 8875) as against irrigated condition (Rs. 9307, 9499 and 10,068), respectively. The variations existed between rainfed and irrigated conditions with respect to cost of cocoon production were marginal with higher being under irrigated farmers over rainfed farmers.

Cost for one kilogram of cocoon production was least under irrigated condition over rainfed condition and among the three categories of farmers, medium category farmers registered less cost (Rs. 177.82 and 189.07) as compared to big (Rs. 180.01 and 188.83) and small farmers (Rs. 182.60 and 186.15) under irrigated and rainfed conditions respectively. On the other hand, returns generated from cocoons fetches higher price for irrigated farmers over rainfed farmers. Gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio were more under irrigated condition among big farmers (Rs. 22,423/100 DFLs, Rs. 12,355/100 DFLs and 2.227:1) when compared to rainfed condition (Rs. 15,745/100 DFLs, Rs. 6,870/100 DFLs and 1.774:1) over medium and small farmers, respectively. The benefits obtained by the irrigated farmers were better when compared to rainfed farmers were mainly due higher yield per unit quantity of DFLs and also higher price of cocoons.

The estimated per hectare total cost, gross and net returns were Rs.28,725, Rs.55,200 and Rs.26,275, respectively for cocoon production under irrigated condition. Under rainfed condition, per hectare cocoon production cost was Rs. 12,110 and the net profit was Rs. 5,390 [4]. The current results are comparable with the findings of previous researcher [16], where the returns accrued were comparatively lower among sericulturists who are having land area of 0.5 to 1 acre in the rainfed region than other classes. But in irrigated region, the sericulturists having land area of 1.00 to 2.00 acres and above 2.00 acres were able to derive much higher returns.

The gross and net return from silk cocoon production in Jamakandi taluk of Bijapur district was Rs.88,961.96 and Rs.52,680.16 per hectare per annum, respectively [7]. The average cocoon yield per acre of rainfed mulberry garden was 79 kg and net income realized was Rs.6400 in Mysore and H.D. Kote taluks [17]. The farmers of Chamarajanagar invested Rs.64.34 for producing 1 kg of cocoons, the return was Rs.73.34 and C:B ratio worked was 1:1.14 [18].

The net return per acre per year from cocoon production was Rs.31289.19 in holding size I, Rs.29663.85 in holding size II and Rs.27710.23 in holding size III. Further, farmers with holding size I had accrued higher returns than holding size II and III and concluded that farmers having 0.5 acre or less of mulberry garden (mostly marginal farmers) could obtain more benefit from sericulture [19].

The cost declined with the increase in size of the farms (marginal, small, medium and big). The cost incurred ranged from Rs 12,000.37 per hectare in the case of marginal farms to Rs. 10,763.62 per hectare in the case of big farmers. The net income between marginal farmers and big farmers ranged between Rs.13,779.63 and Rs.9,073.43 [20].

The gross returns from silk cocoon production was Rs.88961.96 with a net return of Rs.52680.16 per hectare of mulberry garden [21]. In Salem and Dharmapuri districts of Tamil Nadu, an average cost of cocoon production per kg was worked out to be Rs. 79.46, 64.24, 63.73 and 54.31 for holding size groups I (0.01-0.50 ha), II (0.51-1.00 ha), III (1.01-1.50 ha) and IV (>1.50 ha), respectively. The investment per rupee indicates that farmers with the largest holdings (size IV) had the highest returns [10].

A comparative study of cocoon production in coastal area with traditional area of Andhra Pradesh revealed that the C:B ratio was 1:1.70 and 1:1.19, respectively [22]. The cost of production of cocoons per 100 DFLs was Rs. 4901.12 with a B: C ratio of 1:1.54. The cost of production of one kg of cocoon was estimated at Rs. 81.68 [23].

The cost of cocoon production in Kolar district was Rs.6987.10/100 DFLs and the gross and net returns were Rs.8251.96 and Rs.1264.86/100 DFLs, respectively [14]. A case study conducted on large scale farming in Talawadi of Tamil Nadu and Anekal of Karnataka revealed that the production

cost of one kg of cocoons was Rs.70 in both places [24]. The cost per kg of cocoon productivity increased from Rs.70.43 during 1993-94 to Rs.79.29 in 1995-96, which was due to the escalation of input prices [25].

Large scale farmers possessing more than five acres of mulberry incurred a total expenditure of Rs.65,655.35 and Rs.64,167.90/acre/year, respectively in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu towards cocoon production. In case of small/medium scale farmers, the total cost of production was Rs.72,677.93 and Rs.64,537.58/acre/year in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu, respectively. [26].

Gross and net returns were quite high under assured irrigated condition in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu than that of semi-irrigated condition in Andhra Pradesh. In the absence of minimum support price for cocoon, most of the sample farmers were reported to be scaling down their cocoon production, which calls for framing of suitable developmental policies to increase silk cocoon productivity [27].

Sericulture in the drought prone condition is not only profitable but also sustainable. The C: B ratio was estimated to be 1:1.52 and this indicator suggests that sericulture is profitable even under drought prone condition [28]. The majority of the farmers in Malavalli taluk of Mandya district spent moderate amount for cocoon production and obtain less net returns and also majority of the farmers obtain average C:B ratio and only few of the farmers receive less C:B ratio [29].

4. CONCLUSION

The study concluded that cost and return structure of mulberry and cocoon production varied between rainfed and irrigated conditions among the three categories of farmers (small, medium and big) in Chamarajanagar district of Karnataka state. Less cost of production of leaf and cocoons with higher returns were obtained among big farmers over medium and small groups of farmers under irrigated condition over rainfed condition.

321 4. REFERENCES

- 322 1. Anonymous. Functioning of Central Silk Board and Performance of Indian Silk Industry.
323 Annual Report, Central Silk Board, Bangalore. 2018.
- 324 2. Bajpai AK, Tewari A, Deepak Kumar. Sericulture development through extension
325 management. *National Seminar on Sustainable Sericulture*, February 1-2, Babasaheb
326 Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow. 2003; pp.113-114.
- 327 3. Kumaresan P, Srinivasa G. A Textbook on Sericulture Extension Management and
328 Economics. Central Silk Board, Bangalore. 2005; p. 488.
- 329 4. Jolly MS. Economics of Sericulture under Irrigated Conditions. Central Sericultural
330 Research and Training Institute. 1982; 2:1-19.
- 331 5. Choudhary TK. Integrated development – A basic study. Capital, July 23-August 5. 1984;
332 pp. 23-25.
- 333 6. Ramakrishna KB. Cost-benefit analysis of silk cocoon production. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis,
334 University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. 1987.
- 335 7. Kerutagi MG. Production and marketing of silk cocoons in Bijapur district – An economic
336 analysis. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad. 1991.
- 337 8. Doreen Rose. Studies on economics of silk cocoon production in irrigated versus rainfed
338 areas in Mysore district. STS Dissertation, Central Sericultural Research and Training
339 Institute, Mysore. 1992.

- 340 9. Basavaraju SS. Income and employment generation in dry land sericulture, Hassan
341 district, Karnataka. STS Dissertation, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute,
342 Mysore. 1993.
- 343 10. Lakshmanan S, Ganapathy Rao R, Jayaram H, Geetha Devi RG. Labour composition in
344 sericulture, Indian Silk. 1997; 35(12):19-21.
- 345 11. Suma N. Profitability in mulberry sericulture in Karnataka – An economic analysis. *M.Sc.*
346 *Dissertation*, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Mysore. 1997.
- 347 12. Kumaresan P, Vijaya Prakash NB. Economics of sericulture vis-à-vis competing crops in
348 Erode district of Tamil Nadu. Indian Journal of Sericulture. 2001; 40(2):142- 146.
- 349 13. Viswanathan KU, Jayaram B, Reddy CV. Sericulture in Kolar and Tumkur districts of
350 Karnataka (An ex-post evaluation study). National Bank for Agriculture and Rural
351 Development, Regional Office, Bangalore, 2003; pp.39-53.
- 352 14. Lakshmi Prasad V. A comparative economic analysis of cocoon production between
353 mulberry growing and mulberry non growing farmers in Kolar district. *M.Sc. Thesis*,
354 University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. 2005.
- 355 15. Purushotham S, Rama Mohana Rao P. Economics of sericulture in Anantapur district of
356 Andhra Pradesh. Agriculture Science Digest. 2009; 29(2):120-122.
- 357 16. Hanumappa HG. Economics of Tropical sericulture: Micro-perspectives. *Sericologia*.
358 1987; 27(2):219-228.

- 359 17. Dolli SS, Kalappa H, Subramaniam RK, Chikkanna, Singhvi NR, Sen AK, Iyenger MNS,
360 Datta RK. Extent of adoption of improved sericultural practices by the sericulturists. Indian
361 Silk. 1993; 31(10):35-40.
- 362 18. Rajesh B. A study on economics of rainfed sericulture in recurrent drought prone areas
363 of Karnataka. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences. Bangalore. 1995.
- 364 19. Lakshmanan S. Adoption of technological innovation and productivity behavior of
365 sericulture in Tamil Nadu- An econometric analysis. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Mysore,
366 Mysore. 1995.
- 367 20. Jagannathan N. Impact of sericulture on income and employment generation. Indian
368 Silk. 33(9):11-16.
- 369 21. Kerutagi MG, and Shankara Murthy HG. Economics of cocoon production in Bijapur
370 district. Indian Silk. 1996; 34(11):19-20.
- 371 22. Rao MV, Kumaresan P, Vijaya Prakash NB. Comparative economics of cocoon production
372 in coastal area with traditional area of Andhra Pradesh. In: Seminar on Sericultural
373 Technology: An Appraisal. June 6-7, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute,
374 Mysore. 2000; p. 13.
- 375 23. Dandin SB, Kumaresan P. An empirical analysis of cost of cocoon production. Indian Silk.
376 2003; 42(2):5-10.
- 377 24. Dandin SB, Basavaraja HK. Large scale bivoltine farming-Reality under tropical
378 conditions. Indian Silk. 2005; 44(2):10-12.

- 379 25. Lakshmanan S, Mallikarjuna B. An economic analysis of sericultural farming business in
380 Tamil Nadu – An empirical study. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2006; 40(1):96-
381 101.
- 382 26. Dandin SB, Kumaresan P, Geetha Devi RG. Large scale farming in sericulture – A field
383 reality. Indian Silk. 2008; 46(12):16-20.
- 384 27. Lakshmanan S., Munikrishnappa H.M., Mallikarjuna B. and Geetha Devi R.G. An
385 economic appraisal of silk cocoon production in southern India. Indian Journal of
386 Sericulture, 2008: 47(1):40-44.
- 387 28. Munikrishnappa HM, Lakshmanan S, Geetha Devi RG, Mallikarjuna B. A study on
388 economics of sericulture in drought prone region of Andhra Pradesh. Indian Journal of
389 Sericulture, 48(2): 2009:201-203.
- 390 29. Sannappa B, Jayaraju P, Manjunath KG. A study on socio-economic conditions of farmers
391 on economics of mulberry and cocoon production among the sericulturists of Malavalli
392 taluk, Mandya district. International Journal of Academic Research. 2017; 2[2(7)]:80-87.

393

394

Table 1: Cost of mulberry leaf production under rainfed condition

395

(Unit: Rs./acre/year)

No.	Particulars	Category of farmers		
		Small farmers (n=8)	Medium farmers (n=91)	Big farmers (n=21)
A	Variable cost			
1	Intercultural operations in mulberry garden @ 3 man days (MD) & 1 pairs of bullock - 2 times per year @ Rs 150/MD and Rs.300/- per bullock pair.	1,500	1,800	1,800

2	Manure and application charges (2 tons + 2 MD) @ Rs.150.00/MD	1,300	1,700	1,950
3	Fertilizer and application charges (25 kgs 15:15:15) + 1 MD) @ Rs. 22/ kg + Rs.150.00/MD	810	1,180	1,400
4	Leaf harvest (15 MD @ Rs 150/MD)	2,250	2,250	2,250
5	Pruning and cleaning of plants (3 MD @ Rs. 150/MD)	450	450	450
6	Land revenue	50	50	50
7	Miscellaneous	500	500	750
	Total variable cost	6,860	7,930	8,650
B	Fixed cost			
8	Apportioned cost of establishment of mulberry garden	865	1056	1182
	Total cost	7,725	8,986	9,832

396

397

398

Table 2: Cost of mulberry leaf production under irrigated condition

(Unit: Rs./acre/year)

399

Sl. No.	Particulars	Category of farmers		
		Small farmers (n=12)	Medium farmers (n=75)	Big farmers (n=33)
A	Variable cost			
1	Intercultural operations in mulberry garden @ 4 man days (MD) & 1 pairs of bullock - 5 times per year @ Rs 150/MD and Rs.300/- per bullock pair.	4,500	5,250	6,000
2	Manure and application charges (4 tons + 10 MD) @ Rs.150.00/MD	6,500	7,500	8,500
3	Fertilizer and application charges (150 kgs 15:15:15) + 5 man days) @ Rs. 22/ kg + Rs.150.00/MD	4,050	4,600	5,150
4	Irrigation water (Rs.)	2,000	2,000	2,000
5	Irrigation charges (20 MD @ Rs. 150/MD)	3,000	3,000	3,000
6	Shoot harvest (60 MD @ Rs 150/ MD)	9,000	9,000	9,000

7	Pruning and cleaning of plants (5 MD @ Rs. 150/MD)	750	750	750
8	Land revenue	150	150	150
9	Miscellaneous	1,000	1,000	1,000
	Total variable cost	30,950	33,250	35,550
B	Fixed cost			
10	Apportioned cost of establishment of mulberry garden	1256	1502	1760
	Total cost	32,306	34,752	37,310

Table 3: Returns from mulberry leaf production under rainfed and irrigated conditions
(Unit: Rs./acre/year)

Rainfed (n=120)						
Category of farmers	Leaf yield (kg)	Cost of leaf production		Returns generated (Rs.)		
		Total cost (Rs.)	Cost/kg of leaf (Rs.)	*Gross returns (Rs.)	Net returns (Rs.)	B:C Ratio
Small farmers (n=8)	3600	7725	2.146	11,300	3575	1.463 : 1
Medium farmers (n=91)	3949	8986	2.276	12,347	3361	1.374 : 1
Big farmers (n=21)	4278	9832	2.298	13,334	3502	1.356 : 1
Irrigated (n=120)						
Category of farmers	Leaf yield (kg)	Cost of leaf production		Returns generated (Rs.)		
		Total cost (Rs.)	Cost/kg of leaf (Rs.)	**Gross returns (Rs.)	Net returns (Rs.)	B:C Ratio
Small farmers (n=12)	16,388	32206	1.965	58,358	26152	1.812 : 1
Medium farmers (n=75)	18,084	34752	1.922	64,294	29542	1.850 : 1
Big farmers (n=33)	20,271	37310	1.841	71,949	34639	1.928 : 1

* Price of leaf @ Rs.3/kg; value of by-products = Rs. 500/-

**Price of leaf @ Rs.3.5/kg; value of by-products = Rs. 1000/-

Table 4: Cost of cocoon production under rainfed and irrigated conditions

(Unit: Rs./100 DFLs)

Rainfed (n=120)				
Sl. No.	Particulars	Category of farmers		
		Small farmers (n=8)	Medium farmers (n=91)	Big farmers (n=21)
	Variable cost			
1	Chawki worms	2500	2500	2500
2	Leaf	2070	2052	2025
3	Disinfectants	100	200	200
4	Labour (@ 24 MD/100 DFLs) @ Rs 150	3,000	3,000	3,000
5	Transportation and marketing	200	200	250
6	Other costs	100	100	200
	Total	7970	8052	8175
	Fixed cost			
6	Depreciation on building and equipment	400	500	700
	Total cost	8370	8552	8875
Irrigated (n=120)				
Sl. No.	Particulars	Category of farmers		
		Small farmers (n=12)	Medium farmers (n=75)	Big farmers (n=33)
	Variable cost			
1	Chawki worms	2500	2500	2500
2	Leaf	2007	1899	1818
3	Disinfectants	250	300	400
4	Labour (@ 24 MD/100 DFLs) @ Rs 150	3,600	3,600	3,600
5	Transportation and marketing	200	200	250
6	Other costs	100	300	500
	Total	8657	8799	9068
	Fixed cost			
6	Depreciation on building and equipment	650	700	1,100
	Total cost	9307	9499	10068

417 **Table 5: Returns from cocoon production under rainfed and irrigated conditions**
 418 **(Unit: Rs./100 DFLs)**

Rainfed (n=120)							
Category of farmers	Cocoon yield (kg)	Cost of cocoon production		Returns generated (Rs.)			
		Total cost (Rs.)	Cost / kg of cocoons (Rs.)	Price of cocoons/kg	*Gross returns (Rs.)	Net returns (Rs.)	B:C Ratio
Small farmers (n=8)	44.25	8370	189.15	273.75	12719	4349	1.520 : 1
Medium farmers (n=91)	45.96	8552	186.07	292.44	14113	5561	1.650 : 1
Big farmers (n=21)	47.00	8875	188.83	319.05	15745	6870	1.774 : 1
Irrigated (n=120)							
Category of farmers	Cocoon yield (kg)	Cost of cocoon production		Returns generated (Rs.)			
		Total cost (Rs.)	Cost / kg of cocoons (Rs.)	Price of cocoons/kg	*Gross returns (Rs.)	Net returns (Rs.)	B:C Ratio
Small farmers (n=12)	50.97	9307	182.60	330.83	17,706	8,399	1.902 : 1
Medium farmers (n=75)	53.42	9499	177.82	356.00	19,968	10,469	2.102 : 1
Big farmers (n=33)	55.93	10068	180.01	381.82	22,423	12,355	2.227 : 1

419 **Includes value of by-products @ 5%*