



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_44252
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception on Effect of Drought and Suggestions to Overcome Drought by Namakkal Farmers of Tamil Nadu State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper does not attempt the real issues as needed to dig out in the case of climate change induced drought problem in Indian Sub continent. The time period also not justified the case to study why the author chose this e time that had any significant reason and result. The paper is layered only the purpose for writing the paper. Scientific communities can not ready to consider it as the paper that has significant contribution in the field of drought management and climate change adaptation practices.out	Respected Sir/Madam, I had chosen this topic mainly based on the consequences of 2016 drought that created the worst drought hit in the state of Tamil Nadu. My full research is on Agricultural extension based to study "The Mitigation strategies of farmers to overcome drought ", in this manuscript I had chosen only one objectives of my study (i.e.,) To study the perception of farmers towards effect of drought and suggestions to overcome the same.
Minor REVISION comments	Used method, review and discussion need to reframe.	
Optional/General comments	Necessary to make it relevant to discuss in the issues.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I had included the conclusion part as mentioned by editorial board