



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_43208
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Training Programmes on Vermicomposting; Production, Revenue and Employment Generation of Farmer
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Abstract need to be abridged. 2. The nutritional value of vermicompost need to be presented.	Thank you very much for your valuable suggestion to enhance the value of my papers. The suggestion given by the reviewer is accepted and will be taken for revision.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Mention clearly about the source and type of materials used for production of vermicompost. 2. In the conclusion, author has stated that about the improvement of soil health. In this regard, soil health parameters need to be presented to substantiate the statement.	Sources of materials used for main vermicompost will be included in corrected copy. The suggestion given by the reviewer is accepted and will be taken for revision.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If possible, the results need to be compared with the farmer(s) who did not undergo any training programme on production of vermicompost.	Studies regarding your comments is taken for future research.