



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_43208
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Training Programmes on Vermicomposting; Production, Revenue and Employment Generation of Farmer
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Apart from the successful example of Mr Sekar, did other farmers adopt the vermicompost technique because of their participation in the farm training schemes? This will indicate the success rate of the farming training events, i.e., from the total number of attendees how many eventually adopt the technique? 2. In the results section, the authors should compare the benefit cost ratio of vermicompost and earthworms with the respective economic information from the farm production, that is, revenues and costs from crop products, to better understand the relative importance of vermicompost on total farm income 3. The authors should better discuss the characteristics of technology adopters such as Mr Sekar. Are these in line with findings from the international literature, i.e., education, age etc. Authors such as Morrison and Giannakis have explored determinants of technology adoption. Similarly, the authors should discuss their results with findings from the international and national literature regarding the impact of farm training/education on farm economic performance and productivity.	Commends on Benefit cost ratio of vermicompost and earth worm, international and national references etc ., will be taken to strengthen the value of my article.
Minor REVISION comments	1. The authors should check their English. For example in LN 72: The approach aimed to increase...; LN74: The present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of vermicompost production on	I will check and modify the sentences.
Optional/General comments		