



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_42887
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Costs and Returns of Mulberry and Cocoon Production under Rainfed and Irrigated Conditions - An Economic Analysis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the method section, detailed information about the method of selecting 240 farmers in 2821 farmers, Explanation about B C ratio is useful. The writing of numbers (point, comma) should be revised In the results and discussion section, which table should be indicated. Some more details should be given in the conclusion section, suggestions should be made.	1. Collection of data from 240 farmers (rainfed condition = 120, Irrigated = 120) is an essential criteria for the current investigation in the field of extension and selection of 240 farmers was indicated in the methodology section. 2. Explanation for B:C ratio was presented in the methodology section. 3. Point and comma for numbers were revised in the results and discussuion section 4. Table numbers were indicated in the results and discussion section. 5. Conclusion section was expanded.
Minor REVISION comments	I painted yellow patches minor corrections.	
Optional/General comments		