



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_42324
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Agricultural Extension Information for Mitigation of Soil Degradation in Central Senatorial District of Ondo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I strongly recommend an English revision. It is necessary to establish a text formatting (see 1° and 3° paragraph) I recommend to review this data: volume of 400cm annually in the methodology. It is necessary to rewrite the conclusion, see the goals again. For example, this sentence "There is observed overall increase in soil degradation over a 290 period of 5 years (2012-2017)" was not developed in the manuscript. I recommend focusing in the main results. The study inserted farmers and it is necessary to have the evaluation of the ethics committee.	The rainfall data in the methodology has been reviewed. There are no ethical issues in the questions asked from the farmers. No question is asked about their health nor any confidential data requested for. There is nowhere in the work where "290 period" is mentioned
Minor REVISION comments	Cut the word "information" in the key-words Insert a map with the study area. Insert the data about the farm size.	'Information' has been removed from the keywords. Farm size is not a crucial data in this study because regardless of the farm size of the respondent soil degradation can take place.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript bring relevant results, but is necessary to review all the text. It is possible to improve the text quality.	