



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_41752
Title of the Manuscript:	DIAGNOSING THE DIMENSIONS OF BENEFITS AND CONSTRAINTS OF ICT UTILIZATION AMONG CASSAVA FARMERS IN UYO AGRICULTURAL ZONE, SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title of Manuscript: "Diagnosing The Dimensions of Benefits and Constraints of ICT Utilization Among Cassava Farmers in Uyo Agricultural Zone, South-South Nigeria." The study is quite interesting diagnosing the dimensions of benefits and constraints of ICT utilization among cassava farmers in Uyo Agricultural Zone, South-South , Nigeria. The title of the study may be reconstructed to include the meaning of the acronym (ICT). "Diagnosing the Dimensions of Benefits and Constraints of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Utilization Among Cassava Farmers in Uyo Agricultural Zone, South-South, Nigeria". The abstract section was well presented capturing key issues in the study but some other major findings are not included (results of major findings). The introduction was well presented but should include the aspect of major empirical review of previous studies on the dimensions of benefits and constraints of ICT utilization generally among farmers and narrowing it down to the cassava farmers in Sub Saharan Africa and Nigeria in particular. You can also include a robust literature review on the aspect of ICT utilization generally among rural and urban farming households to really capture the gap this study is set to fill in the already existing body of knowledge in this area of research, The study objectives may not necessarily be itemized by numbering each of the objectives; you may just make the study objectives flow in sentences with comma separating each objective without any numbering or bullet points. I think you may have some research questions emanating from your study objectives. Also, there should be a concise and conspicuous statement of the problem justifying the main essence of this study. The methodology aspect of this study was well presented. The descriptive statistics of the sampled farmers in this study is conspicuously missing (also no tables presenting the socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents) o much but other tables are well presented with such clarity. The major findings of this study are well presented. The recommendations of this study should be more than two because of the nature of this study; you can still further discover other recommendations emanating from the results of your findings. When the aspect of empirical and literature review of this study are briefly and concisely added as suggested earlier, it will boost the number of references of this study but these references must be relevant and retrievable by your readers.	ICT is a well acknowledged acronym so it poses no threat. It was used in order not to make the Title of the work clumsy. If you insist, then I effect the change. Abstract will not carry all the findings but some major findings to be able to fit into the number of words accepted by a journal. I think I have done justice to that. If you had mentioned some findings you considered major which did not reflect on the abstract, it would have been easier for me to consider. Unarguably, much work has been done on ICTs access, utilization etc. This worked focused on diagnosis of the dimensions of benefits and Constraints of ICT utilization among cassava farmers and the literature used to state the problem is adequate in the sense that, its intent was to focus on ICTs and cassava. Going back to review works on general ICTs use, access, availability etc by farmers will make the work clumsy, drifting from the focus and repetition since much work on this are on the net already. I think all your comments here are well captured in the work. Yes I may not itemize the study objectives but I won't have both my objectives and research questions together in my work. Research questions are objectives stated in question form therefore, I prefer to take one, either the objectives as being chosen or research questions. Considering the fact that none of the objectives of this work specified an examination of the socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents and all the stated objectives have been properly addressed with clarity as rightly observed by you, then there was no need for the table on socioeconomic characteristics. The Study already made more than two recommendations.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	All minor revision comments are already given in the corrected version of the original manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Nil	