



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_41642
Title of the Manuscript:	Food crop production in discourse; a socio economic and biophysical analysis in Mbiame Sub-Division, North West Region, Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Along with the surveys, the author uses work in focus groups, but this activity very much depends on the personality of the group leader. What has been done to prevent this, the author has not indicated. "	With due respect Sir, the impact of focus group leaders did not contribute much to this work and the reason for having their opinion was to obtained information we could not get from the farmers. Equally, farmers who make up these focus groups were still given questionnaires to avoid any bias.
Minor REVISION comments	In the study, he also used the opinion of leaders of agricultural organizations, and their point of view can be very different from the knowledge of ordinary farmers due to other mental models and interests, so that the data array can't be considered homogeneous.	Agricultural organisations were included to compliment questionnaires already administered to farmers individually. So the article was not base entirely on the opinions of leaders of agricultural organisations. These organisations in their various areas of interventions are based on the problems presented to them by farmers.
Optional/General comments	The article should be brought to the general view, adding, for example, the section «Discussion»	Thanks for that input Sir. The article has been brought under general view under the section discussion.