



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_41642
Title of the Manuscript:	Food crop production in discourse; a socio economic and biophysical analysis in Mbiame Sub-Division, North West Region, Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title of Manuscript : "Food crop production in discourse; a socio economic and biophysical analysis in Mbiame Sub-Division, North West Region, Cameroon" Date of Review : 8 May, 2018 The study is quite interesting but I have these few comments to make; You may need to reconstruct this title as "Socioeconomic and Biophysical Analyses of Food Crop Production in Mbiame Sub-Division, NorthWest Region, Cameroon" The Abstract contained salient information that should be included in an abstract but I think you can make the abstract more brief and concise including only the major research findings in the work. Also, you can reduce the keywords used in this study to maximum of five (5). The introduction contained salient information about the key issues in this study but should be strengthened by adding some theoretical and empirical review on the main theme of this study consulting some relevant and retrievable references for this. The research objectives should be included at the tail end of the introduction in flowing sentences with no numberings or bullet points. This study can give a concise and conspicuous statement of the problem to justify the essence for this study. This is a descriptive study, but the results of the findings should be discussed in a brief and concise matter giving attention to major one and leave the remaining details to the tables used for the discussion. This will reduce unnecessary repetition. The tables used for the discussion of theses research findings were well presented. You may also add the actual map of your study area in this manuscript to add colour and further information about your study area. You may need to cite some references in the literature to really validate or support the discussion of your research findings, either to further establish your major findings in relation to what is found or obtainable in the literature or refute it. This is very necessary for the aspect of the discussion of research findings. The references utilized for this study may further be increased with more recent, relevant and retrievable ones especially in the aspect of literature search and discussion of your research finding.	Thanks for the observation Sir, I agree with you because it matches perfectly. I have equally revisited the abstract with the key words making it brief and concise. I could not highlight the portion revisited in the abstract because I have to alter a lot there to make it brief. With due respect Sir, I think the concept of farming system have been discuss throughout the introduction with empirical studies. Socioeconomic and biophysical are key determinants in the concept of cropping system. What I could not come across in literature were theories. Main research objectives with the problem statement have all been included at the tail end of the introduction Thanks for the observation Sir ,I have revisited the results discussion and those changes effected (I couldn't highlight those areas since it cut across discussion and statements adjustment) Thanks for the observation sir. I have revisited those areas and good literature added to substantiate the work. It looks more mature now. Thanks for pointing that out. I have improved the work with recent literature from 2015 to 2018 and link to the findings and discussions.
Minor REVISION comments	All the minor revision comments are already given in the original manuscript	All the comments on the manuscript have equally been taken into consideration and corrections effected
Optional/General comments	Nil	