



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40906
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' perception on constraints in adoption of double cropping in Upper Brahmaputra Valley Zone of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Details about the work methodology are missing. It's too succinct. All questions posed to farmers must be presented. The questions asked must be justified</p> <p>The results presented are limited to a single table. There's no more available info?</p> <p>The discussion is too poor</p>	<p>Thank you for the comment.</p> <p>As suggested methodology is described in more detail. Responses are elaborated. Table numbers are increased. Since the objective is to only find out the constraints faced by the farmers in adopting double cropping and from the stated tables it was revealed. No more information is required.</p> <p>Regards</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		