



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40906
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' perception on constraints in adoption of double cropping in Upper Brahmaputra Valley Zone of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The study is very interesting however the authors need to attend to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Use of standard units for measuring land not lakh hectares</li> <li>2. Sampling frame was not described adequately</li> <li>3. Why not do more analysis beyond %</li> <li>4. Conclusions are general statements without any figures or ranges</li> <li>5. Include policy recommendation in conclusion</li> <li>6. Correct per cent or use %</li> </ol>	<p>Thank you for the comment.</p> <p>CFI is used instead of percent analysis</p> <p>Policy recommendations are written in conclusion part</p> <p>Lakh hectare can be used as found in many references</p> <p>Regards</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		