



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40627
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Students and Teachers on Achievement of RAWEP objectives
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsorily REVISION comments	1. Abbreviation Required: VC, GKVK,UAS, JRF, CAT 2. Citation not mentioned in the Reference 3. More citation is required 4. English is very poor 5. Grammar and sentence construction is poor. 6. Why Chi square test not mentioned in the methodology? 7. How the statement in each table chosen? 8. How you operationalised the concept of 'Perception', 'Achievement', ' ' etc. and how have you measured these?--what was the scale of measurement?--How scale was developed? Give detailed methodology with Reliability and validity analysis. 9. In table you have written in Roman but in Actual table it is in Numerical	1. Abbreviated: GKVK, VC, UAS, JRF,CAT 2. Acknowledged 3. More citation were mentioned 4. Revised 5. Revised 6. Chi square test mentioned in methodology 7. Statements procedure was mentioned 8. Perception and achievement were operationally defined 9. Both in table and text Roman numerical mentioned