



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40289
Title of the Manuscript:	Agriculture Transformation in Bhutan: From Peasants to Entrepreneurial Farmers
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Do mention the limitations and future recommendations. 2. Justification for the methodology is required. 3. Study's contributions should be clearly mentioned. 4. Motivation for this study should be mentioned as well.	1. Author added the limitations and recommendations for future research opportunities in the last part of conclusion as below: Although this study framework tried to include most components of agriculture transformation in Bhutan, the author could have overlooked other crucial factors. Therefore, this study paves the road for future researchers to explore agriculture transformation considering other factors. Besides, this work could not predict the future of entrepreneurial farming in Bhutan. Thus, there is a need to explore strength, weakness, opportunities, and threats of emerging entrepreneurial farmers to understand the future entrepreneurial farmers in Bhutan. 2. The fourth paragraph under introduction is added justifying the methodology used in this study as below: The factors responsible for agriculture transformation are multidimensional and equally complex because it differs in different time and space. Thus, there is no standard study framework to adopt one for the current study. The lack of a reliable study framework left author with no alternatives than to develop his own framework, encompassing prominent components of agriculture transformations. The author relied on experts' suggestions and his experiences and knowledge on the subject matter. Therefore, as shown in Figure 1, this article reviews the five components of agriculture transformations based on a wide range secondary data. 3. Author edited the third paragraph of introduction to show study's contribution as given below: Therefore, the review on the subject matter will contribute valuable information to understand the evolution of Bhutan's agricultural systems. As such this article gives a comprehensive synthesis of history and present status of agriculture development in the country. The discussions generated in this study will be useful to Ministry of Agriculture and Forest (MoAF) (particularly Department of Agriculture and Department of Livestock), Agriculture related Non-Governmental Organizations, and other stakeholders, who aspire to understand Bhutan's



SDI Review Form 1.6

		agriculture development. 4. Author added the last half of the third paragraph under introduction describing author's motivation for this study as follows: Despite the importance of studies on Bhutan's agriculture transformation, there is inadequate documentation on it. To the best of the author's knowledge, much of the studies on Bhutan's agriculture, facts, and figures are in disarray. One can aggregate them to effectively interpret the agriculture transformation. The scarcity of holistic and systematic review motivated the author to explore this topic.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Nil	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The above revisions should be considered seriously before publication.	