



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_40047
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints Perceived by the Agricultural Scientists in Teaching Undergraduate Students: An Analysis in TNAU
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Kindly revisit the abstract. Represent to concisely capture purpose of study, design of study, findings and policy implications or recommendations. The 'introduction' should be merged with the 'background studies' to make for good read and presentation. Submit manuscript to an English professional to proof read. Insert reference in the places pointed out in the manuscript. Discuss your result in relation with similar studies. There are no stand-alone researches.	- Abstract has been revised - The introduction part just deals with the need for the study. Hence I feel that the background studies portion could be kept separate for easy understanding. - Reference were inserted as pointed in the manuscripts - Results were discussed with the similar studies with inclusion of new literatures.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		