



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_39985
Title of the Manuscript:	Clean Milk Production Practices Adopted by the Dairy Farmers of R.S.Pura in Jammu District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 19 – describe what's MPS means. Leave the abstract with fewer words, it is too long. Explain better the methodology in the abstract and describe more expected results. Explain better the software used on material and methods.	I have corrected whole manuscript as per reviewer comments I have improved methodology part as well
Minor REVISION comments	Lines 15, 94, 95, 97, 98, 103, 109, 134,155,177, 202, 253: there are more space between words Line 106, 142: lack of space between words. Keywords: standardize in uppercase or lowercase letters. Line 66: all acronyms must be explained either in the text or footnote. Lines 70, 135, 188, 203, 226: standardize bibliography (for example: pollutants and metabolites (Gupta, 2003 or only number), replace by numbers with the other bibliographies. Table 1: standardize words within the table	Corrected manuscript as per reviewer comments.
Optional/General comments	No comments	