



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_39985
Title of the Manuscript:	Clean Milk Production Practices Adopted by the Dairy Farmers of R.S.Pura in Jammu District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is well written and really address an important issue. The abstract covers the study in essence. Introduction section gives enough information with the related literature. The weakest part of the study seems to be the sampling procedure and the limited number of respondents (40), but it is acceptable considering the data analysis methods selected. The last two paragraphs in page 3 must be justified like the rest of the paper. The study uses enough literature from India but lacks international literature to compare similar cases worldwide. For example, studies like the following may provide comparisons. Boz, I., Akbay, C., Bas, S., & Budak, D. B. (2011). Adoption of innovations and best management practices among dairy farmers in the Eastern Mediterranean region of Turkey. <i>Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances</i>, 10(2), 251-261. Pictures were used very successfully and they really summarize the situation. Table 1 can be broken down in a couple tables which will appear better in the published version. I prefer the word of "Recommendations" instead of "Suggestions" Overall, I assume that this is a good study and can be published with minor revisions. Regards.	First of all i thanks to reviewer for appreciating my research work. As per sampling procedure is concerned i have improved it Small sample numbers is because study was conducted in one block only not in one district. Actually in our university first we compare from state than with India and if no work done then only we compare ith international level. As i got lot of work in India so i don't compare with international work as per university rules and regulation. Actually i have arranged it in a connecting link so if table will be portioned then i have to start from first and it will became complex to understood my findings to readers. Yes i used now Recommendations word instead suggestion.
Optional/General comments		