



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_39224
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW OF THE ENTREPRENEURIAL BEHAVIOR OF FARMERS: AN ASIAN-AFRICAN PERSPECTIVE
Type of the Article	MiniReview Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	(1) Line 27 ... Change word "Fore" to "Forefront" (2) Line 96 Close parenthesis for the years (2007) (3) Line 98 add ... to the rest of the "aforementioned" entrepreneurial components. (4) Line 99 ... delete all the line after the word components, starting with revealed till (2015). (5) Remove all commas "," from the Intext references like... Line 96... Chaudhari et al., (2007) does not need a comma after the point on al. Similarly Lines 103, 142, 184, 187, 194, 196, 327, 330, 307, 338, 365, 387 (6) Add a point "." to the references like Line 204 and 205 for example Gamit et al., (needs a point) and Tekale et al., 2013..... Line 307, 357, 358, 363, 398 (7) Line 155 remove italic from et al (8) Line 140 remove the -s- from influence (9) Line 187 write... Similar findings were reported by Ahmed.... (10) line 194 open parenthesis before 2014... add and before Borua, then open parenthesis for 2015. (11) Line 239 put 1990 in parenthesis and remove the comma (12) Remove the first initials from the names in ref. Lines 264 and 275 (13) Remove all the commas from the sub-titles lines 121,165, 238, 261, 281,316, 379 (14) In the conclusion, what are the major outcomes rather than repeating what was written in the introduction. (15) separate recommendations and future research (16) review references to match the journal citation style	(1) See edited text (2) Corrected (3) See added text (4) Deleted (5) Corrected (6) Corrected (7) Corrected (8) See corrected text (9) See modified text (10) Corrected (11) Corrected (12) See corrected text (13) Removed (14) See modified text in lines 402- 416 (15) See modified text (16) Corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>