



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_39221
Title of the Manuscript:	Social Exclusion of Tribal Agricultural Labourers: The Case of Adiya Tribal Community of Wayanad District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON 'Social Exclusion of Tribal Agricultural Labourers: The Case of Adiya Tribal Community of Wayanad District'</p> <p>Comment 1: Abstract</p> <p>1. Only the first two sentences of the Abstract, can be said to <u>introduce the topic</u>. There is need for the causation for choosing the topic, (<u>why the topic?</u>)</p> <p>2. The first two sentences are immediately followed by <u>research finding</u>, this is premature and should be shifted to the findings/conclusion areas.</p> <p>3. <u>Introduction, line 5, 6</u></p> <p>'Income is also.....the end' should be amended and re-written as 'Income is also a means, with human development, to an end'</p> <p>4. <u>Page 2, Para 3, line 3</u></p> <p><u>Insert a comma after</u> sense</p> <p>5. Though the work participation rate is high among Adiyas, theykerala' seem ambiguous,, <u>should be clarified</u>,</p> <p>6. <u>p 2 para 3.2 Economic exclusion, line 6</u></p> <p><u>Change to 'markets'</u></p> <p>7. <u>There was no rationale and explanation for the choice of</u> Adiya out of presumably many other tribal and profession-based communities. There is need for a description of the context of the Paper out of Adija community compared with nearby tribes and occupational groups,</p> <p>8. The Paper offers only a <u>tersely expressed Recommendation</u> in the last sentence of the Conclusion paragraph</p>	<p>I have restructured my abstract</p> <p>The correction is made and highlighted in the manuscript</p> <p>Comma is inserted</p> <p>I have deleted that statement</p> <p>The rationale for selection of Adiyas is mentioned in the methodology. I have mentioned that Adiyas were selected as they are primarily engaged as agricultural labourers when compared to any other tribal community. Also research findings support that compared to any other tribal community in Kerala, Adiyas have been exploited to the most and they perform worst on human development indicators like health and education when compared to any other tribal community.</p> <p>I have modified the conclusion part and have presented.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I consider the Paper as rather short and constrained to deal adequately with such an interesting topic.	