



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>                        |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_39221   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Social Exclusion of Tribal Agricultural Labourers: The Case of Adiya Tribal Community of Wayanad District |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>Interesting topic, but the paper needs structural restructuring.</p> <p>Too few references, and the way of referencing is not consistent at all.</p> <p>Some more background information about the Wadiya people would be necessary.</p> <p>Why did you not incorporate a control group? (i.e. non-tribal people)</p> <p>It is good you focus on several aspects of exclusion, but what were your exact indicators? Show us a more complete table, divide each dimension in several indicators.</p> <p>You can't compare means of percentages with each other. Unless you make some kind of score based on these results – but the statistical tests to compare the differences are incorrect.</p> | <p>I have restructured the paper based on the reviewers comments and have highlighted the portions</p> <p>I have included more references</p> <p>Since very few studies have been conducted regarding this adiya tribal community, through my preliminary survey the details what I gathered about this community is presented in the paper</p> <p>I have followed an ex post facto research design.</p> <p>The table is incorporated</p> <p>I have deleted this table as I felt the methodology I followed was wrong</p> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>p.29 – a bit strange sentence, the French government focussing on social exclusion? Where does that come from?</p> <p>Referencing format is inconsistent.</p>  | <p>That part of the sentence has been omitted</p>   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |   |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>