



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_39221 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Social Exclusion of Tribal Agricultural Labourers: The Case of Adiya Tribal Community of Wayanad District |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author has only given the summery table of different category of exclusion. But for each category of exclusion – how the exclusion level for both panchayat are measured that to be separately presented through proper scientific table or diagram form and also to be explained. 2. What are the indicators of factors or criteria were taken for estimating each category of exclusion to be clearly explained may be through table form in methodology. 3. In case of economic exclusion factors or indicators like income in-equality, level of employment, asset building, capital formation can be taken for better explanation new IHDI index. 4. In case of service exclusion author has mentioned education, health, credit facility. But to what extent these facilities are not availed or privileged by the tribal labourer that to be scientifically stated. 5. In case of socio-cultural exclusion, the criteria of judging exclusion level is not clear that to be clearly presented and explained 6. The researcher has mentioned the level of corruption, bribery, negligence of govt. official are more prevalent in tribal area. But the question is to what extent? How it is measured? 7. From fig. 1 it is not understandable that how author on what basis find out that 23.33% facing low level of exclusion, 50% medium level of exclusion and rest high level of exclusion <p>It would be more justifiable, suitable and scientific if the researcher make a comparative study with non-tribal exclusion.</p> | <p>I have included table 1 clearly indicating the statements used to measure the different dimensions of social exclusion along with the mean scores. This, I hope will justify the matter presented in results and discussion.</p> <p>I have replaced the figure with a table. It is based on mean $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ SD that I have categorised the respondents into high, medium and low categories, which I have specified in the corrected manuscript.</p> |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The researcher has furnished a appreciable introduction. 2. The methodology is good but there should be summery table of factor or criteria of different exclusion. <p>It would be better to represent or revised the paper by adopting a comparative and or logical method of presenting the outcome scientifically.</p> | |