



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_38842
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing Cocoa Farmers' Participation in the Government Spraying Programme in Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study is quite interesting but I have these few comments to make; • The abstract section, the salient findings from this study are conspicuously missing. These include; data collection procedure, sample size, sampling techniques, results of the study in a concise manner among others. • In the introduction section, you can still include some research findings on cocoa farmers' participation in government praying programme in other parts other than Ghana in the literature. • The study objectives are conspicuously missing. Also, there is no specific statement of the problem, possibly research question (optional). Please, you have to include the research objectives close to the end of your introduction section (last paragraph of the introduction section) in a straight statement format (do not itemize). • You can include sampling procedure and analytical framework to your study. Also, give clearer explanation of each of your explanatory variables (possibly picking each of them). • You can show the degree of participation by farmers(both that participated and non-participants) through the use of charts like bar charts, pie charts among others to give colour to your work. • You can also include table to show how your study respondents are selected just for clarity sake (numbers of respondents selected from zone/region to community). • In Results and Discussion section (table 3), you can include Log-Likelihood, Wald Chi-Square, pseudo R2 among others in the main table3. Also, explain the significance of their values in this study. • In conclusion section, please make sure you actually give a brief summary of your findings not forgetting all the salient issues in the study. This must be adequately taken care of before your study recommendations.	The abstract contains most of these already, additions which would most likely only embellish the abstract Little information Done The empirical probit model suffices for the analytical framework. Also, Table 1 and the explanation that followed is sufficient for explanation of each explanatory variable. Good idea about adding colour. We will however like to keep it as it is. Maybe useful but the table will not be insightful since equal number of 20 farmers were selected from four different communities. Done Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The minor comments are already given in the main manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	