



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_38785
Title of the Manuscript:	Productivity enhancement of pigeonpea in farmers fields with adoption of ICM practices through participatory approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: Add district and country in the title.	Corrected accordingly
	Abstract: - Abstract is well written, needs little modification as suggested in the PDF format of the manuscript. - Gulbarga means? - If district then <u>in Gulbarga district of India in order to know the</u>	Corrected accordingly
	Key words: Add: Productivity, Participatory approach, farmer's field, BCR in key words. Remove: INM, IPM from key words.	Relevant key words added and deleted accordingly
	Introduction: - Well written, however needs modification such as; Anonymous instead of Anon., - Write deferent references with a, b, c etc. Anonymous, 2015a, Anonymous, 2015b, Anonymous, 2015c, Anonymous, 2016a, Anonymous, 2016b - Add references wherever suggested in PDF format. - Add world pigeon-pea area and production - discuss briefly about Frontline demonstration, Participatory approach, Farmers field in introduction. - Add more recent references	Missed references included and corrected accordingly All Corrections done accordingly as per reviewer's suggestion Explained briefly as per suggestions
	Materials and Methods: - Well written, however needs little modification. - Write MATERIALS AND METHODS instead of METHODOLOGY - Add references wherever suggested in the PDF format. - Write: - Technological Gap instead of Technology Gap - Technological Index instead of Technology Index	Corrections done accordingly
	Results and Discussion: Well written, needs correction Conclusion: Well discussed, however should be based on the data of the research work	Corrections done accordingly
	References: - Not as per Journal standard. - Author has mentioned references which are not present in the material. - Author has mentioned 2nd author instead of 1st author. - Only one reference for various data such as Anonymous, 2015, Anonymous, 2016	Recent references included Corrections done accordingly
	Tables: Good, but needs proper indexing.	Corrections done accordingly
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical Mistakes	-
Optional/General comments		-