



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_38785
Title of the Manuscript:	Productivity enhancement of pigeonpea in farmers fields with adoption of ICM practices through participatory approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 3: I suggest you reflect the scientific name of Pigeon pea in the title and state the meaning of ICM. Line 48: Readers will want to know the meaning of IWM and IPM Line 53: I suggest you put FLDs in bracket after the statement "front line Demonstration" Line 63: KVK and ARS scientist. What do you mean?	Necessary Corrections have been included accordingly and highlighted accordingly
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The write up is very OK and educative.	-